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Dynamical Instability of the Spiral State of F=1 Ferromagnetic Condensate

Connections to spintronics in electron systems
Ferromagnetic spin textures created by D. Stamper-Kurn et al.

generate helical spin pattern (uniform spin current) using inhomogeneous field

\[ \frac{dB_z}{dz} \]

Evolve

w/o gradient

with gradient
Dissolving spin textures

initial texture = uniform

initial texture = wound up
**F=1 condensates**

Spinor order parameter
Vector representation

\[ \vec{\Psi} = \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_x \\ \Psi_y \\ \Psi_z \end{pmatrix} \]

Ferromagnetic State

\[ \vec{\Psi} = \frac{\Psi_0}{\sqrt{2}} \times \begin{pmatrix} i \sin \phi \\ i \cos \phi \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

Polar (nematic) state

\[ \vec{\Psi} = \Psi_0 \times \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2m} \nabla \Psi_\alpha^\dagger \nabla \Psi_\alpha + q F_z^2 + \frac{g_0}{2} \Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\beta^\dagger \Psi_\beta \Psi_\alpha + \frac{g_s}{2} \Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\beta \Psi_\beta \]

Ferromagnetic state realized for \( g_s > 0 \)
Spiral Ferromagnetic State of F=1 condensate

Gross-Pitaevski equation

\[ i \frac{\partial \Psi_\alpha}{\partial t} = -\frac{\nabla^2}{2m} \Psi_\alpha + g_0 \Psi_\beta^\dagger \Psi_\beta \Psi_\alpha + g_s \Psi_\alpha^\dagger \Psi_\beta \Psi_\beta \]

Mean-field spiral state

The nature of the mean-field state depends on the system preparation.

Sudden twisting

\[ \Psi(x, t) = \Psi_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} i \cos qx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\omega_1 t} \\ i \sin qx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\omega_1 t} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e^{-i\omega_2 t} \end{array} \right) \]

Adiabatic limit: \( \theta \) determined from the condition of the stationary state.

\[ \overline{\Psi}(x) = \Psi_0 \left( \begin{array}{c} i \cos qx \cos \theta \\ i \sin qx \cos \theta \\ \sin \theta \end{array} \right) e^{-i\mu t} \]

Instabilities can be obtained from the analysis of collective modes
Collective modes
Instabilities of the spiral state

Adiabatic limit

Sudden limit
Mean-field energy

Inflection point suggests instability

Negative value of $\frac{\partial^2 E_{MF}}{\partial q^2}$ shows that the system can lower its energy by making a non-uniform spiral winding.
Instabilities of the spiral state

Beyond mean-field: thermal and quantum phase slips?
Many-body decoherence and Ramsey interferometry

Connections to one dimensional electron systems. New feature: application of Luttinger liquid model for describing non-equilibrium dynamics
Working with $N$ atoms improves the precision by $\sqrt{N}$. Need spin squeezed states to improve frequency spectroscopy.
Squeezed spin states for spectroscopy
Motivation: improved spectroscopy, e.g. Wineland et. al. PRA 50:67 (1994)

Generation of spin squeezing using interactions. Two component BEC. Single mode approximation

\[ \mathcal{H} = \chi_s (S_{\text{tot}}^z)^2 \]

Kitagawa, Ueda, PRA 47:5138 (1993)

In the single mode approximation we can neglect kinetic energy terms

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}} = \frac{g_s}{V} (N_1 - N_2)^2 \]
Interaction induced collapse of Ramsey fringes

Ramsey fringe visibility

\[ t_{\text{collapse}} \sim \frac{1}{\chi \sqrt{N}} \]

\[ t_{\text{revival}} \sim \frac{1}{\chi} \]

Experiments in 1d tubes: A. Widera, I. Bloch et al.
Spin echo. Time reversal experiments

Single mode approximation

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}} = \frac{g_s}{V} (N_1 - N_2)^2 \]

\[ g_s = \frac{g_{11} - g_{12}}{2} \]

The Hamiltonian can be reversed by changing \( a_{12} \)

\[ a_s \rightarrow -a_s \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}} \rightarrow -\mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}} \]

Predicts perfect spin echo

\[ e^{i \int_0^T \mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}}(t) \, dt} \times e^{i \int_T^{2T} \mathcal{H}_{\text{SMA}}(t) \, dt} = 1 \]
Spin echo. Time reversal experiments

Expts: A. Widera, I. Bloch et al.

No revival?

Experiments done in array of tubes. Strong fluctuations in 1d systems. Single mode approximation does not apply. Need to analyze the full model.

\[
H = \int dx \left[ g_c (n_1 + n_2)^2 + g_s (n_1 - n_2)^2 + \frac{\left| \nabla \Psi_1 \right|^2}{2m} + \frac{\left| \nabla \Psi_2 \right|^2}{2m} \right]
\]
Interaction induced collapse of Ramsey fringes. Multimode analysis

Low energy effective theory: Luttinger liquid approach

Luttinger model

\[ S^+(x, t) \sim e^{i\phi_s(x,t)} \]

\[ [S^z(x), \phi_s(x')] = -i\delta(x - x') \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_s = \int_0^L dx \left[ g_s(S^z)^2 + \frac{\rho}{2m}(\nabla \phi_s)^2 \right] \]

Changing the sign of the interaction reverses the interaction part of the Hamiltonian but not the kinetic energy

\[ \mathcal{H}_s = \sum_q \left[ g_s(t)S^z_q S^{z*}_q + \frac{\rho q^2}{m} \phi_{sq} \phi^{*}_{sq} \right] \]

\[ [S^z_{q'}, \phi_{sq}] = -i\delta_{qq'} \]

Time dependent harmonic oscillators can be analyzed exactly
Time-dependent harmonic oscillator

\[ \mathcal{H} = \frac{p^2}{2m(t)} + k \frac{q^2}{2} \]

See e.g. Lewis, Riesengeld (1969) Malkin, Man’ko (1970)

Explicit quantum mechanical wavefunction can be found

\[ \psi(p, t) = \frac{\Phi\left(\frac{p}{c(t)}\right)}{\sqrt{c(t)}} e^{i\alpha(t)p^2 + i\gamma(t)} \]

From the solution of classical problem

\[ \ddot{c} + \omega^2(t) c = \frac{\omega_0^2}{c^3} \]

We solve this problem for each momentum component

\[ \mathcal{H}_s = \sum_q \left[ g_s(t) S_q^z S_q^{z*} + \frac{\rho q^2}{m} \phi_{sq} \phi_{sq}^* \right] \]
Interaction induced collapse of Ramsey fringes in one dimensional systems

Only q=0 mode shows complete spin echo
Finite q modes continue decay

The net visibility is a result of competition between q=0 and other modes

Conceptually similar to experiments with dynamics of split condensates.
T. Schumm’s talk

Fundamental limit on Ramsey interferometry
Boson Fermion mixtures

Connections to polaronic effects in electron-phonon systems.
New feature: quantum regime of bosons
Boson Fermion mixtures

Experiments: ENS, Florence, JILA, MIT, ETH, Hamburg, Rice, Duke, Mainz, …

Bosons provide cooling for fermions and mediate interactions. They create non-local attraction between fermions

Charge Density Wave Phase
Periodic arrangement of atoms

Non-local Fermion Pairing
P-wave, D-wave, …

Theory: Pu, Illuminati, Efremov, Das, Wang, Matera, Lewenstein, Buchler, …
Boson Fermion mixtures

\[ \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{bb} + \mathcal{H}_{ff} + \mathcal{H}_{bf} \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{bb} = -t_b \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_i^\dagger b_j + U_{bb} \sum_i n_{bi}(n_{bi} - 1) \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{ff} = -t_f \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^\dagger f_j \]
\[ \mathcal{H}_{bf} = U_{bf} \sum_i n_{bi} n_{fi} \]

Effective fermion-”phonon” interaction

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{bb} = \sum_q \omega_q \beta_q^\dagger \beta_q \]
\[ \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{bf} = \sum_{kq} g_q \left( \beta_q + \beta_{-q}^\dagger \right) f_{k+q}^\dagger f_k \]

Fermion-”phonon” vertex \( g_q \sim |q| \)
Similar to electron-phonon systems

“Phonons” : Bogoliubov (phase) mode
Bose-Fermi mixture in a three dimensional optical lattice
See also Ospelkaus et al, PRL 96:180403 (2006)

Suppression of superfluidity of bosons by fermions

Similar observation for Bose-Bose mixtures,
see Catani et al., arXiv:0706.278

Issue of heating needs to be sorted out, see e.g. Pollet et al., cond-mat/0609604
Competing effects of fermions on bosons

Fermions provide screening. Favors superfluid state of bosons

Orthogonality catastrophe due to fermions. Polaronic dressing of bosons. Favors Mott insulating state of bosons
Quantum regime of bosons

A better starting point:

Mott insulating state of bosons
Free Fermi sea

Theoretical approach: generalized Weiss theory
Weiss theory of magnetism

Heisenberg model

\[ \mathcal{H} = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \vec{S}_i \cdot \vec{S}_j \]

Mean field: a single spin in a self-consistent field

\[ \mathcal{H} = \vec{h}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \vec{S} \]

\[ \vec{h}_{\text{eff}} = \sum_j J_{ij} \langle \vec{S}_j \rangle \]
Weiss theory of the superfluid to Mott transition of bosons in an optical lattice

\[ \mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_i^\dagger b_j + U \sum_i n_i (n_i - 1) - \mu \sum_i n_i \]

Mean-field: a single site in a self-consistent field

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -z t (r^* b^\dagger + r b) + U n (n - 1) - \mu n \]

\[ r = \langle b \rangle \]
Weiss theory: quantum action

**O(2) rotor:** 
\[ b = \sqrt{n} \ e^{i\phi} \]

Conjugate variables 
\[ [n, \phi] = -i \]

\[ \mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = -z tr \cos \phi + U n (n - 1) - \mu n \]

\[ S_{\text{eff}} = \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[ i \dot{n} - z tr \cos \phi + U n (n - 1) - \mu n \right] \]

Self-consistency condition 
\[ r = \bar{n} \langle \cos \phi \rangle \]

**SF-Mott transition: expansion for small \( r \)**

\[ r = z t \bar{n} r \int D\phi \sum_{n(\tau)} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \cos \phi(0) \cos \phi(\tau_1) e^{-S_0} \]

\[ S_0 = \int_0^\beta d\tau \left[ i \dot{n} + U n (n - 1) - \mu n \right] \]
Adding fermions

Screening

\[ \Delta S_{\text{ferm}}^{(1)} = -\frac{1}{2} U_{BF}^2 \rho_F(0) \int_0^\beta d\tau \ n^2 \]

Orthogonality catastrophe

\[ \Delta S_{\text{ferm}}^{(1)} = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \ \alpha(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \ (n(\tau_1) - n(\tau_2))^2 \]

\[ \alpha(\omega_\nu) = 2 \pi \rho_F^2(0) \ U_{BF}^2 \ |\omega_\nu| \]

X-Ray edge singularity: Roule, Gavoret, Nozieres (1969)
Ohmic dissipation: Caldeira, Leggett (1983)
SF-Mott transition in the presence of fermions

\[ r = z t \bar{n} r \int D\phi \sum_{n(\tau)} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \cos \phi(0) \cos \phi(\tau_1) e^{-S_0} \]

Competition of screening and orthogonality catastrophe

\[ S_0 = \int_0^{\beta} d\tau \left[ i \dot{\phi} n + \bar{U} n(n - 1) - \mu n \right] + \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_2 \alpha(\tau_1 - \tau_2) \left( n(\tau_1) - n(\tau_2) \right)^2 \]

Effect of fast fermions \( t_F/U = 5 \)

Effect of slow fermions \( t_F/U = 0.7 \)

\[ U_{FB}/U = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 1 \]

\[ U_{FB}/U = 0, 0.1, 0.2, \ldots, 0.5 \]
Summary
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