Strongly correlated systems: from electronic materials to cold atoms

Eugene Demler Harvard University

Collaborators:

E. Altman, R. Barnett, I. Cirac, L. Duan, V. Gritsev,W. Hofstetter, A. Imambekov, M. Lukin, L. Mathey,D. Petrov, A. Polkovnikov, D.W. Wang, P. Zoller

"Conventional" solid state materials

Bloch theorem for non-interacting electrons in a periodic potential





Consequences of the Bloch theorem







First semiconductor transistor

"Conventional" solid state materials

Electron-phonon and electron-electron interactions are irrelevant at low temperatures



$$\frac{1}{\tau_{\rm e-e}} \sim \epsilon^2 \qquad \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm e-ph}} \sim \epsilon^3$$

Landau Fermi liquid theory: when frequency and temperature are smaller than E_F electron systems are equivalent to systems of non-interacting fermions





 $\kappa/T = \text{const}$

Non Fermi liquid behavior in novel quantum materials



Puzzles of high temperature superconductors

Unusual "normal" state

Resistivity, opical conductivity, Lack of sharply defined quasiparticles, Nernst effect

Mechanism of Superconductivity

High transition temperature, retardation effect, isotope effect, role of elecron-electron and electron-phonon interactions

Competing orders

Role of magnetsim, stripes, possible fractionalization

Maple, JMMM 177:18 (1998)



Applications of quantum materials: High Tc superconductors

160







Applications of quantum materials: Ferroelectric RAM



() :Pb () :O () :Zr/Ti





FeRAM in Smart Cards Non-Volatile Memory High Speed Processing

Breakdown of the "standard model" of electron systems in novel quantum materials

Modeling strongly correlated systems using cold atoms

Bose-Einstein condensation



$$n \sim 10^{14} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-3}$$
 $T_{\mathrm{BEC}} \sim 1 \mu \mathrm{K}$

Scattering length is much smaller than characteristic interparticle distances. Interactions are weak New Era in Cold Atoms Research Focus on Systems with Strong Interactions

Optical lattices

Feshbach resonances

Low dimensional systems



Atoms in optical lattices



Bose Hubbard model



Superfluid to insulator transition in an optical lattice

M. Greiner et al., Nature 415 (2002)



Feshbach resonance and fermionic condensates

Greiner et al., Nature 426 (2003); Ketterle et al., PRL 91 (2003)



One dimensional systems



1D confinement in optical potential Weiss et al., Bloch et al., Esslinger et al., ...

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{kin}} &\sim \frac{\hbar^2}{m d^2} \sim \frac{\hbar^2 n^2}{m} \\ \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{int}} &\sim g n \\ \gamma &= \frac{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{int}}}{\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{kin}}} \sim \frac{g m}{\hbar^2 n} \quad \stackrel{\mathrm{Sr}}{\underset{\mathrm{fo}}{\mathrm{fo}}} \end{split}$$

Strongly interacting regime can be reached for low densities



One dimensional systems in microtraps. Thywissen et al., Eur. J. Phys. D. (99); Hansel et al., Nature (01); Folman et al., Adv. At. Mol. Opt. Phys. (02)



New Era in Cold Atoms Research Focus on Systems with Strong Interactions Goals

- Resolve long standing questions in condensed matter physics (e.g. origin of high temperature superconductivity)
- Resolve matter of principle questions
 (e.g. existence of spin liquids in two and three dimensions)
- Study new phenomena in strongly correlated systems (e.g. coherent far from equilibrium dynamics)

Outline

Two component Bose mixtures in optical lattices: realizing quantum magnetic systems using cold atoms

Fermions in optical lattices: modeling high Tc cuprates

Beyond "plain vanilla" Hubbard model: boson-fermion mixtures as analogues of electron-phonon systems; using polar molecules to study long range interactions

Emphasis of this talk: detection of many-body quantum states

Quantum magnetism

Ferromagnetism



Magnetic needle in a compass



Magnetic memory in hard drives. Storage density of hundreds of billions bits per square inch.

Stoner model of ferromagnetism



Spontaneous spin polarization decreases interaction energy but increases kinetic energy of electrons

Mean-field criterion

$$I N(0) = 1$$

I – interaction strength N(0) – density of states at the Fermi level

Antiferromagnetism

Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model
$$\mathcal{H} = J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \vec{S}_i \vec{S}_j$$

 $| AF \rangle = | \phi \phi \rangle$
 $| s \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| \phi \phi \rangle - | \phi \phi \rangle)$
 $| t \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| \phi \phi \rangle + | \phi \phi \rangle)$
 $| AF \rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (| s \rangle + | t \rangle)$

Antiferromagnetic state breaks spin symmetry. It does not have a well defined spin

Spin liquid states

Alternative to classical antiferromagnetic state: spin liquid states



Properties of spin liquid states:

- fractionalized excitations
- topological order
- gauge theory description

Systems with geometric frustration



Spin liquid behavior in systems with geometric frustration

Kagome lattice

Pyrochlore lattice





SrCr_{9-x}Ga_{3+x}O₁₉

Ramirez et al. PRL (90) Broholm et al. PRL (90) Uemura et al. PRL (94) $ZnCr_2O_4$ $A_2Ti_2O_7$

Ramirez et al. PRL (02)

Engineering magnetic systems using cold atoms in optical lattices

Two component Bose mixture in optical lattice

Example: 87 Rb. Mandel et al., Nature 425:937 (2003)



Two component Bose Hubbard model

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} &= - t_{\uparrow} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} b_{j\uparrow} - t_{\downarrow} \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} b_{j\downarrow} + U_{\uparrow\uparrow} \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} (n_{\uparrow} - 1) \\ &+ U_{\downarrow\downarrow} \sum_{i} n_{i\downarrow} (n_{\downarrow} - 1) + U_{\uparrow\downarrow} \sum_{i} n_{i\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} \end{aligned}$$

Two component Bose mixture in optical lattice. Magnetic order in an insulating phase

Insulating phases with N=1 atom per site. Average densities $n_{\uparrow} = n_{\downarrow} = \frac{1}{2}$ Easy plane ferromagnet $|\Psi\rangle = \prod \left(b_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} + e^{i\phi} b_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger} \right) |0\rangle$ $|\Psi\rangle = \prod b_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger} \prod b_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}$ Easy axis antiferromagnet $i \in B$ $i \in A$

Quantum magnetism of bosons in optical lattices



Duan, Lukin, Demler, PRL (2003)

$$\mathcal{H} = J_z \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \sigma_i^z \sigma_j^z + J_\perp \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \left(\sigma_i^x \sigma_j^x + \sigma_i^y \sigma_j^y \right)$$

$$J_{z} = \frac{t_{\uparrow}^{2} + t_{\downarrow}^{2}}{2U_{\uparrow\downarrow}} - \frac{t_{\uparrow}^{2}}{U_{\uparrow\uparrow}} - \frac{t_{\downarrow}^{2}}{U_{\downarrow\downarrow}} \qquad \qquad J_{\perp} = - \frac{t_{\uparrow}t_{\downarrow}}{U_{\uparrow\downarrow}}$$

- Ferromagnetic
- Antiferromagnetic

$$\begin{split} U_{\uparrow\downarrow} >> U_{\uparrow\uparrow}, \ U_{\downarrow\downarrow} \\ U_{\uparrow\downarrow} << U_{\uparrow\uparrow}, \ U_{\downarrow\downarrow} \end{split}$$



Kinetic energy dominates: antiferromagnetic state



Coulomb energy dominates: ferromagnetic state



Two component Bose mixture in optical lattice. Mean field theory + Quantum fluctuations



How to detect antiferromagnetic order?

Quantum noise measurements in time of flight experiments

Time of flight experiments



Quantum noise interferometry of atoms in an optical lattice



Second order coherence $G(r_1, r_2) = \langle n(r_1)n(r_2) \rangle - \langle n(r_1) \rangle \langle n(r_2) \rangle$

Second order coherence in the insulating state of bosons. Hanburry-Brown-Twiss experiment

Theory: Altman et al., PRA 70:13603 (2004) Experiment: Folling et al., Nature 434:481 (2005)



Hanburry-Brown-Twiss stellar interferometer



Second order coherence in the insulating state of bosons

Bosons at quasimomentum \vec{k} expand as plane waves

with wavevectors $\vec{k}, \ \vec{k} + \vec{G}_1, \ \vec{k} + \vec{G}_2$

First order coherence: $\langle \rho(\vec{r}) \rangle$

Oscillations in density disappear after summing over $ec{k}$

Second order coherence: $\langle \rho(\vec{r}_1) \rho(\vec{r}_2) \rangle$

Correlation function acquires oscillations at reciprocal lattice vectors

$$\langle \rho(\vec{r_1}) \rho(\vec{r_2}) \rangle = A_0 + A_1 \cos\left(\vec{G_1}(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})\right) + A_2 \cos\left(\vec{G_2}(\vec{r_1} - \vec{r_2})\right) + \dots$$

Second order coherence in the insulating state of bosons. Hanburry-Brown-Twiss experiment

Experiment: Folling et al., Nature 434:481 (2005)



Interference of an array of independent condensates

Hadzibabic et al., PRL 93:180403 (2004)





Smooth structure is a result of finite experimental resolution (filtering)





Second order coherence in the insulating state of fermions. Hanburry-Brown-Twiss experiment

Experiment: T. Rom et al. Nature in press



Probing spin order of bosons $f = \frac{\hbar k t}{m}$

Correlation Function Measurements

$$G(r_1, r_2) = \langle n(r_1) \ n(r_2) \rangle_{\text{TOF}} - \langle n(r_1) \rangle_{\text{TOF}} \langle n(r_2) \rangle_{\text{TOF}}$$

$$\sim \langle n(k_1) \ n(k_2) \rangle_{\text{LAT}} - \langle n(k_1) \rangle_{\text{LAT}} \langle n(k_2) \rangle_{\text{LAT}}$$



Realization of spin liquid using cold atoms in an optical lattice

Theory: Duan, Demler, Lukin PRL 91:94514 (03)

Kitaev model



Questions:

Detection of topological order

Creation and manipulation of spin liquid states

Detection of fractionalization, Abelian and non-Abelian anyons Melting spin liquids. Nature of the superfluid state Simulation of condensed matter systems: fermionic Hubbard model and high Tc superconductivity

Hofstetter et al., PRL 89:220407 (2002)

Fermionic atoms in an optical lattice

Experiment: Esslinger et al., PRL 94:80403 (2005)



High temperature superconductors





Picture courtesy of UBC Superconductivity group

YBa₂Cu₃O₇ Superconducting Tc 93 K

Hubbard model – minimal model for cuprate superconductors

P.W. Anderson, cond-mat/0201429

After many years of work we still do not understand the fermionic Hubbard model

Positive U Hubbard model

Possible phase diagram. Scalapino, Phys. Rep. 250:329 (1995)



Second order interference from the BCS superfluid

Theory: Altman et al., PRA 70:13603 (2004)



Momentum correlations in paired fermions

Greiner et al., PRL 94:110401 (2005)



Fermion pairing in an optical lattice



Second Order Interference In the TOF images

$$G(r_1, r_2) = \langle n(r_1)n(r_2) \rangle - \langle n(r_1) \rangle \langle n(r_2) \rangle$$

Normal State

$$G_{\rm N}(r_1, r_2) = \delta(r_1 - r_2)\rho(r_1) - \rho^2(r_1)\sum_G \delta(r_1 - r_2 - \frac{G\hbar t}{m})$$

Superfluid State

$$\begin{split} G_{\rm S}(r_1,r_2) &= G_{\rm N}(r_1,r_2) + \Psi(r_1) \sum_G \delta(r_1 + r_2 + \frac{G\hbar t}{m}) \\ \Psi(r) &= |u(Q(r))v(Q(r))|^2 \text{ measures the Cooper pair wavefunction} \\ Q(r) &= \frac{mr}{\hbar t} & \text{One can identify unconventional pairing} \end{split}$$

Beyond "plain vanilla" Hubbard model

Boson Fermion mixtures

Experiments: ENS, Florence, JILA, MIT, Rice, ETH, Hamburg, ...



Bosons provide cooling for fermions and mediate interactions. They create non-local attraction between fermions



Charge Density Wave Phase Periodic arrangement of atoms

Non-local Fermion Pairing P-wave, D-wave, ...

Boson Fermion mixtures

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_{bb} + \mathcal{H}_{ff} + \mathcal{H}_{bf}$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{bb} = -t_b \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} b_i^{\dagger} b_j + U_{bb} \sum_i n_{bi} (n_{bi} - 1)$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{ff} = -t_f \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} f_i^{\dagger} f_j$$
$$\mathcal{H}_{bf} = U_{bf} \sum_i n_{bi} n_{fi}$$

Effective fermion-"phonon" interaction

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{bb} = \sum_{q} \omega_{q} \beta_{q}^{\dagger} \beta_{q}$$
$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{bf} = \sum_{kq} g_{q} \left(\beta_{q} + \beta_{-q}^{\dagger} \right) f_{k+q}^{\dagger} f_{k}$$

Fermion-"phonon" vertex $g_q \sim |q|$ Similar to electron-phonon systems





Polar molecules in optical lattices Adding long range repulsion between atoms

$$\int \theta \qquad V_{\text{int}} = d^2 \frac{1 - 3\cos^2\theta}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|^3} + \frac{4\pi\hbar^2a}{m}\delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

Experiments: Florence, Yale, Harvard, ...

Bosonic molecules in optical lattice



Goral et al., PRL88:170406 (2002)

Conclusions

We understand well: electron systems in semiconductors and simple metals. Interaction energy is smaller than the kinetic energy. Perturbation theory works

We do not understand: strongly correlated electron systems in novel materials. Interaction energy is comparable or larger than the kinetic energy. Many surprising new phenomena occur, including high temperature superconductivity, magnetism, fractionalization of excitations

Ultracold atoms have energy scales of 10⁻⁶K, compared to 10⁴ K for electron systems. However, by engineering and studying strongly interacting systems of cold atoms we should get insights into the mysterious properties of novel quantum materials

Our big goal is to develop a general framework for understanding strongly correlated systems. This will be important far beyond AMO and condensed matter