Transmon-based simulator of nonlocal electron-phonon coupling: a platform for observing sharp small-polaron transitions
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We propose an analog superconducting quantum simulator for a one-dimensional model featuring momentum-dependent (nonlocal) electron-phonon couplings of Su-Schrieffer-Heeger and “breathing-mode” types. Because its corresponding vertex function depends on both the electron- and phonon quasimomenta, this model does not belong to the realm of validity of the Gerlach-Löwen theorem that rules out any nonanalyticities in single-particle properties. The superconducting circuit behind the proposed simulator entails an array of transmon qubits and microwave resonators. By applying microwave driving fields to the qubits, a small-polaron Bloch state with an arbitrary quasimomentum can be prepared in this system within times several orders of magnitude shorter than the typical qubit decoherence times. We demonstrate that in this system – by varying the circuit parameters – one can readily reach the critical coupling strength required for observing the sharp transition from a nondegenerate (single-particle) ground state corresponding to zero quasimomentum \( (K_\text{gs} = 0) \) to a twofold-degenerate small-polaron ground state at nonzero quasimomenta \( K_\text{gs} \) and \( -K_\text{gs} \). Through exact numerical diagonalization of our effective Hamiltonian, we show how this nonanalyticity is reflected in the relevant single-particle properties (ground-state energy, quasiparticle residue, average number of phonons). The proposed setup provides an ideal testbed for studying quantum dynamics of polaron formation in systems with strongly momentum-dependent electron-phonon interactions.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Based on the pioneering ideas of Feynman and Lloyd\(^1\), and bolstered by developments in technology and methods for manipulation and control, the field of quantum simulation is at the current frontier of physics research\(^2\). Its overarching goal is to help us understand the behavior of complex quantum many-body systems by studying their simpler, highly-controllable counterparts. The field has already matured enough to allow realizations of various quantum spin models, models with bosonic degrees of freedom, and even of those that go beyond the conventional low-energy physics paradigm. In particular, polaronic systems have quite recently attracted attention among researchers in the field, as evidenced by the proposals for simulating such systems with trapped ions\(^5,6\), cold polar molecules\(^7,8\), Rydberg atoms or ions\(^9\), and superconducting (SC) circuits\(^10\).

Conceived by Landau and Peierls as a by-product of their investigation of charge carriers in polar semiconductors\(^11\), the polaron concept has played a pivotal role in studies of electron-phonon (e-ph) interaction ever since\(^12\). It envisions an excess carrier (electron, hole) in a narrow-band semiconductor (or an insulator) strongly interacting with the host-crystal lattice vibrations. The effective mass of the carrier is increased – compared to the bare-band value – due to a self-induced lattice deformation that causes an effective “dressing” of the carrier by virtual phonons (self-trapping). Polaronic carriers have been found in materials ranging from amorphous semiconductors\(^13,14\) to colossal-magnetoresistive oxides\(^15\) to undoped cuprates\(^16\). More recently, polaronic behavior has been identified in cold-atomic systems\(^17\). Besides, the generalized polaron concept includes almost any instance of a quantum particle strongly coupled to a bosonic environment, giving rise to a field-theoretic model of a fermion interacting with a scalar boson field.

In systems with short-range e-ph coupling, a typical size of the phonon cloud around a particle does not exceed a unit cell of the host crystal (small polarons). Such carriers are usually studied within the framework of the Holstein molecular-crystal model, a paradigm for the polaron-crossover problem\(^18\). This model describes purely local – hence momentum-independent – interaction of tightly-bound electrons with dispersionless (Einstein) phonons. Owing to a large body of work over the past five decades\(^12\), both static and dynamical properties of this model are well understood by now. Recent small-polaron studies have, however, focussed on strongly momentum-dependent e-ph interactions\(^19\). An important example is furnished by Su-Schrieffer-Heeger (SSH) coupling (also known as Peierls-type or off-diagonal coupling), which accounts for the dependence of electronic hopping integrals upon phonon states and has a significant bearing on transport properties of \(\pi\)-electron systems, such as organic semiconductors\(^20\), carbon nanotubes\(^21\), and graphene-based nanostructures\(^22\).
strongly momentum-dependent couplings, with vertex functions that depend both on the electron and phonon quasimomenta, are also relevant for fundamental reasons. Namely, they do not belong to the realm of validity of the Gerlach-Löwen theorem, which asserts that (single-particle) e-phonon models generically show smooth dependence of the ground-state energy on the coupling strength. While this theorem was long believed to be of quite general validity, it applies only to momentum-independent (Holstein-like) couplings and those that do depend on the phonon— but not on the electron quasimomentum. The latter are exemplified by the “breathing-mode” (BM) coupling, relevant in the cuprates.

The field of SC qubits was revolutionized by the development of circuit quantum electrodynamics (circuit QED) , which allowed both fast quantum-gate realizations and demonstrations of many quantum-optics effects. Quite recently, circuit QED has come to the fore as a versatile platform for on-chip analog quantum simulation. Spurred by some recent advances in the realm of SC quantum devices, especially the striking increase in achievable coherence times of transmon qubits (from 1 µs to nearly 100 µs), theoretical proposals have already been set forth for simulating Bose-Hubbard-type models, coupled-spin, and spin-boson models, topological states of matter, and gauge fields. Along similar lines, in this paper we propose an SC-circuit emulation of a one-dimensional model featuring momentum-dependent e-phonon couplings of SSH and BM types. This analog simulator entails SC transmon qubits and microwave resonators, the standard building blocks of circuit-QED systems. The role of qubits in our system is to emulate spinless-fermion excitations, where the pseudospin-1/2 operators representing the qubits are mapped to fermionic ones through the Jordan-Wigner transformation. At the same time, the resonator modes (microwave photons) play the role of dispersionless (Einstein) phonons.

We show that the suggested setup allows realization of strong e-phonon coupling regime, characterized by a small-polaron formation. Furthermore, it enables the observation of a sharp transition from a nondegenerate single-particle ground state at zero quasimomentum to a twofold-degenerate one corresponding to a pair of equal and opposite (nonzero) quasimomenta. To demonstrate the feasibility of our simulation scheme, we show that— by applying appropriate pump pulses on the qubits—the relevant small-polaron states can be prepared within a time scale several orders of magnitude shorter than the relevant qubit decoherence times.

The suggested simulator is particularly suitable for a detailed characterization of small-polaron ground states (or even excited states), by extracting their phonon content through direct counting of photons on the resonators. This unique tool, which is not at our disposal in traditional solid-state systems, also opens up the possibility to address experimentally the nonequilibrium aspects of polaron physics, i.e., the complex problem of polaron formation dynamics. The question as to how rapidly upon creation (injection) of a single electron (hole), i.e., e-phonon interaction quench, the cloud of virtual phonons around it forms and results in a “dressed” polaronic quasiparticle is poorly understood at present. This dynamical process, which is expected to be particularly complex in systems with strongly momentum-dependent e-phonon interactions, has quite recently attracted considerable attention.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. The layout of the simulator circuit is presented in Sec. II together with the derivation of the effective Hamiltonian and discussion of the relevant parameter regime. In Sec. III we first discuss the character of the momentum dependence of the simulated e-phonon coupling, then some technical aspects related to exact numerical diagonalization, and finally, the results obtained for the small-polaron ground state. Special emphasis is placed on the occurrence of a sharp transition and the ensuing nonanalyticities in relevant single-particle properties (ground-state energy, quasiparticle residue, average number of phonons). Section IV starts with a brief description of our envisioned state-preparation protocol, followed by a discussion of the experimental-detection and robustness aspects of the simulator. In Sec. V we lay out the scheme for extracting the relevant retarded Green’s functions and the spectral function using the many-body Ramsey interaction protocol, and explain how our setup can be used for studying the dynamics of polaron formation. We summarize and conclude in Sec. VI.

II. ANALOG SIMULATOR AND ITS EFFECTIVE HAMILTONIAN

A. Circuit layout and underlying Hamiltonian

A schematic of the SC circuit behind the simulator is shown in Fig. I. Each building block of the simulator consists of a transmon qubit denoted as $Q_n$ and a SC resonator denoted as $R_n$. The transmon qubit emulates fermionic excitations, while the microwave photon modes of the SC resonator play the role of Einstein phonons. Adjacent qubits couple to each other via a connecting circuit denoted as $B_n$. Contrary to the more familiar circuit-QED setup, the resonators in our circuit do not couple directly to the qubits. Instead, the magnetic flux of the resonator modes couples inductively to the connecting circuit $B_n$, which induces a nearest-neighbor qubit-qubit coupling whose strength depends on the quantum dynamics of the resonator modes.

The noninteracting Hamiltonian of the $n$-th repeating unit of the simulator, containing qubit $Q_n$ and resonator $R_n$, can be written as

$$H_n = \hbar \omega_c a_n a_n^{\dagger} + E_z \frac{\sigma_n^z}{2},$$

where $E_z$ is the energy splitting of the qubit, and $\omega_c$ the
frequency of the resonator mode; \( a_n \) (\( a_n^\dagger \)) is the annihilation (creation) operator for the modes of the resonator \( R_n \), while the Pauli matrix \( \sigma_n^z \) represents the qubit \( Q_n \).

The connecting circuit \( B_n \) consists of three Josephson junctions and can be viewed as a generalized SQUID loop. Let \( \varphi_n \) be the gauge-invariant phase variable of the SC island of the \( n \)-th qubit, and \( \varphi_n^i \) (\( i = 1, 2, 3 \)) the respective phase drops on the three junctions in the circuit \( B_n \). Based on flux-quantization rules, we then have

\[
\begin{align*}
\varphi_n^1 &= \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1} + \frac{\phi_n^t}{2}, \\
\varphi_n^2 &= \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1} - \frac{\phi_n^t}{2}, \\
\varphi_n^3 &= \varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1} - \frac{\phi_n^t}{2} - \frac{\phi_n^b}{2},
\end{align*}
\]

(2)

where \( \phi_n^t \) and \( \phi_n^b \) are the respective magnetic fluxes in the top- and bottom loops of \( B_n \); both are expressed in units of \( \Phi_0/2\pi \), where \( \Phi_0 \equiv \hbar c/(2e) \) is the flux quantum.

The resonator modes \( a_n \) and \( a_{n+1} \) couple inductively to the top loop of \( B_n \) (see Fig. 1). The total magnetic flux in the top loop is given by

\[
\phi_n^t = \pi \cos(\omega_0 t) + \phi_{n,\text{res}},
\]

(3)

where the first term is the flux from an external ac drive with amplitude \( \pi \) and frequency \( \omega_0 \), while the second one

\[
\phi_{n,\text{res}} = \theta_{n,n+1}(a_{n+1} + a_{n+1}^\dagger) - \theta_{n,n}(a_n + a_n^\dagger)
\]

(4)

is the flux from the resonator modes. The coupling constants \( \theta_{n,n'} \) quantify the contribution of the resonator modes \( a_{n'} \) to the connecting circuit \( B_n \). The sign of \( \theta_{n,n+1} \) can be designed to be either the same or opposite to that of \( \theta_{n,n+1} \), depending on the geometry of the qubit-resonator coupling. Here we choose \( \theta_{n,n+1} = \theta_{n,n} = \beta \).

In terms of the resonator parameters, \( \delta \theta \) is given by

\[
\delta \theta = 2e A_{\text{eff}} C_0 \sqrt{\frac{h \omega_c}{3}}.
\]

(5)

where \( A_{\text{eff}} \) is an effective coupling area, \( C_0 \) the capacitance of the resonator, and \( d_0 \) the effective spacing in the resonator. In writing Eqs. (4) and (5), we assumed that the magnitudes of fluxes from all resonators are equal and that the signs of the fluxes from adjacent resonators are opposite to each other. The flux \( \phi_n^b \) in the bottom loop includes an external ac driving and a tunable dc flux \( \phi_{b0} \):

\[
\phi_n^b = -\frac{\pi}{2} \cos(\omega_0 t) + \phi_{b0}.
\]

(6)

Note that the ac part of \( \phi_n^b \) has the same frequency, but opposite sign of its amplitude compared to the ac part of \( \phi_n^t \).

The ac magnetic fluxes in this simulator can be realized by fabricating control wires that couple both to the top- and bottom loops, and applying a microwave pump to the wires. At the same time, the dc magnetic flux in the bottom loops can be implemented by applying a dc current to a separate control wire designed near those loops. Such an implementation should ensure that no significant dc bias couples to the top loops. It should also be emphasized that the only tunable parameters in this circuit are the dc bias \( \phi_{b0} \) and the frequency of the ac drive \( \omega_0 \).

By generalizing the standard expression for the effective Josephson energy of a SQUID loop (with two junctions, threaded by a magnetic flux), the total Josephson energy of the connecting circuit \( B_n \) can be written as

\[
H_n^J = -3 \sum_{i=1} E_i^j \cos \varphi_n^i ,
\]

(7)

where \( E_i^j \) are the Josephson energies of the three junctions. We will hereafter assume that \( E_1^j = E_2^j \equiv E_j \) and \( E_3^j = E_{j0} \).

### B. Effective Hamiltonian in the rotating frame of the drive

Given the explicit time dependence originating from the driving terms, we resort to studying this system in the rotating frame of the drive, i.e., adopt the interaction picture defined by a reference Hamiltonian

\[
H_0 = \hbar \omega_0 \sum_n a_n^\dagger a_n .
\]

(8)

The system dynamics in this rotating frame are described by the Hamiltonian

\[
H_I = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}H_0t} \left[ \sum_n (H_n^J + H_n^I) - H_0 \right] e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar}H_0t} ,
\]

(9)
which can be recast as

\[ H_I = \sum_n \left( \hbar \delta \omega a_n^\dagger a_n + \frac{E_z}{2} \sigma_z^n \right) + e^{\mp i H_0 t} \sum_n H_n^J e^{\pm i H_0 t}, \]

with \( \delta \omega \equiv \omega_c - \omega_0 \). The explicit form of the Josephson-coupling term \( H_n^J \) in the rotating frame is derived in detail in Appendix A. Its time-independent part is given by

\[ H_n^J = -2 \left[ t_r - \frac{1}{2} E_J J_1(\pi/2) \phi_{n,\text{res}} \right] \cos(\phi_n - \phi_{n+1}), \]

where \( J_n(x) \) are Bessel functions of the first kind, and

\[ t_r = E_J J_0 (\pi/2) \left( 1 + \cos \phi_0 \right) \]

is determined by the Josephson energy of the bottom junction, which, for convenience, is chosen as \( E_J0 = 2E_J J_0 (\pi/2) \). Along with the first two terms in Eq. (10), the time-independent part \( \sum_n H_n^J \) of the Josephson-coupling term forms the effective Hamiltonian of the system in the rotating frame. Namely, the remaining (time-dependent) part of this transformed Josephson-coupling term can be neglected due to its rapidly-oscillating character (for details, see Appendix A), in accordance with the rotating-wave approximation (RWA).

By expanding \( \cos(\phi_n - \phi_{n+1}) \) to second order in the phase variables and defining pseudospin-1/2 operators \( \sigma_n \) that correspond to the lowest two eigenstates of the transmon, we find that

\[ -2 \cos(\phi_n - \phi_{n+1}) \approx -2 + 4\delta \phi_0^2 \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^+ \sigma_n^\dagger \sigma_{n+1}^\dagger - \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^+ \sigma_n^\dagger \sigma_{n+1}^\dagger. \]

Here \( \delta \phi_0^2 \equiv (2EC_1/E_{J1})^{1/2} \) is the quantum displacement of the gauge-invariant phase \( \langle E_{C1} \rangle \) and \( E_{J1} \) are the charging- and Josephson energies of an individual transmon, respectively); note that for a typical transmon \( (E_{J1}/E_{C1} \approx 100) \) we have \( \delta \phi_0^2 \approx 0.15 \). The full expression for \( H_n^J \) (not shown here) can easily be obtained by combining Eqs. (11) and (13).

We now switch to the spinless-fermion representation of the pseudospin-1/2 operators via the Jordan-Wigner transformation

\[ 1 + \sigma_n^z \rightarrow 2c_n^\dagger c_n, \quad \sigma_n^+ \sigma_{n+1}^+ + \sigma_n^- \sigma_{n+1}^- \rightarrow c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + \text{h.c.}. \]

The effective Hamiltonian of the system in the rotating frame

\[ H_{\text{eff}} = H_{\text{ph}} + H_e + H_{e-\text{ph}} \]

includes the free-phonon term with the effective phonon frequency \( \delta \omega \),

\[ H_{\text{ph}} = \hbar \delta \omega \sum_n a_n^\dagger a_n, \]

the (spinless-fermion) excitation hopping term

\[ H_e = -t_0 \sum_n (c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + \text{h.c.}), \]

with \( t_0 \equiv 2\delta \phi_0^2 t_r \) being the effective bare hopping energy, and the excitation-phonon coupling term \( H_{e-\text{ph}} \) whose explicit form will be specified shortly. Note that, strictly speaking, \( H_e \) also contains the diagonal (on-site energy) terms \( c_n^\dagger c_n \) for spinless fermions, originating from the \( \sigma_n^\dagger \sigma_n \) terms in Eqs. (11) and (13). Yet, in consistency with the usual practice in studying coupled e-ph models, we hereafter disregard them as they only represent a constant band offset for our itinerant fermionic excitations.

The coupling Hamiltonian \( H_{e-\text{ph}} \) consists of two contributions: the SSH term

\[ H_{\text{SSH}} = g \hbar \delta \omega \sum_n (c_n^\dagger c_{n+1} + \text{h.c.}) \]

\[ \times \left[ (a_{n+1} + a_{n+1}^\dagger) - (a_n + a_n^\dagger) \right], \]

and the BM term

\[ H_{\text{BM}} = -g \hbar \delta \omega \sum_n c_n^\dagger c_n \]

\[ \times \left[ (a_{n+1} + a_{n+1}^\dagger) - (a_{n-1} + a_{n-1}^\dagger) \right], \]

where the dimensionless coupling strength \( g \) is defined by the relation

\[ g \hbar \delta \omega = \delta \phi_0^2 E_J J_1(\pi/2) \theta. \]

The SSH term physically accounts for the dynamical dependence of the hopping integral (i.e., the excitation bandwidth) on the phonon displacements \( a_n \propto a_n + a_n^\dagger \), to first order in these displacements; it is nonlocal in that the hopping integral between sites \( n \) and \( n+1 \) depends on the displacements on both sites. The BM term, on the other hand, also describes a nonlocal e-ph interaction; it accounts for the antisymmetric coupling of the excitation density at site \( n \) with the phonon displacements on the neighboring sites \( n-1 \) and \( n+1 \). Being an example of a “density-displacement” type coupling, it can be viewed as a nonlocal generalization of the Holstein-type e-ph interaction.

It is worthwhile to mention that an example of a real electronic system where both e-ph coupling mechanisms discussed here play important roles is furnished by the cuprates. In those materials, both mechanisms involve the planar Cu-O bond-stretching phonon modes, also known as breathing modes. These bond-stretching modes couple to electrons both via a direct modulation of the hopping integral (SSH-type coupling), and through electrostatic changes in the Madelung energies that originate from displacements of orbitals (BM-type coupling).
quasimomentum operator

\[ \mathcal{K}_\text{tot} = \sum_k k c_k^\dagger c_k + \sum_q q a_q^\dagger a_q, \]

where \( c_k \) and \( a_q \) are the excitation- and phonon annihilation operators in momentum space. For convenience, we express quasimomenta in units of the inverse lattice period, so that \( c_k = N^{-1/2} \sum_n e^{i k n} c_n \) and \( a_q = N^{-1/2} \sum_n e^{i q n} a_n \). The eigenvalues of the operator \( \mathcal{K}_\text{tot} \) will hereafter be denoted as \( \mathcal{K} \). In particular, \( \mathcal{K}_\text{gs} \) will stand for the quasimomentum corresponding to the ground state of the system (i.e., the band minimum of the effective, dressed-excitation Bloch band).

A. Momentum dependence of the resulting e-ph coupling

Before embarking on a calculation of the ground-state of the system, it is worthwhile to analyze the momentum dependence of the vertex function corresponding to the resulting e-ph coupling term:

\[
H_{e-\text{ph}} = N^{-1/2} \sum_{k,q} \gamma(k,q) c_{k+q}^\dagger c_k (a_{-q}^\dagger + a_q). \tag{22}
\]

This vertex function

\[
\gamma(k,q) = 2 i g \hbar \delta \omega \left[ \sin k + \sin q - \sin(k+q) \right] \tag{23}
\]

consists of the \( k \)- and \( q \)-dependent SSH part \( \gamma_{\text{SSH}}(k,q) = 2 i g \hbar \delta \omega \sin k - \sin(k+q) \), and the BM part \( \gamma_{\text{BM}}(q) = 2 i g \hbar \delta \omega \sin q \) that only features \( q \) dependence. Since the overall vertex function \( \gamma(k,q) \) depends on both \( k \) and \( q \), it does not belong to the domain of applicability of the Gerlach-Löwen theorem\(^{23} \), which rules out a nonanalytic behavior of the single-particle quantities. While \( k \)- and \( q \)-dependent couplings do not necessarily lead to nonanalyticities\(^{32} \), such nonanalyticity indeed occurs in a model with the pure SSH coupling [vertex function \( \gamma_{\text{SSH}}(k,q) \)]\(^{32} \). In the following (see Sec. III C), we show that the model with combined SSH and BM couplings studied here displays a similar sharp transition between a quasifree excitation and a small polaron.

B. Details of exact diagonalization

To determine the ground-state properties of our resulting coupled e-ph model, we employ the conventional Lanczos diagonalization\(^{32} \) in combination with a controlled truncation of the phonon Hilbert space.

The Hilbert space of the system is spanned by states given as direct products \( |n\rangle_e \otimes |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\text{ph}} \). Here, \( |n\rangle_e = c_n^\dagger |0\rangle_e \) is the state of the excitation localized at the site \( n \), \( \mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_N) \) are the phonon occupation numbers, and \( |\mathbf{m}\rangle_{\text{ph}} = \prod_{i=1}^N (1/\sqrt{m_i}) (b_i^\dagger)^{m_i} |0\rangle_{\text{ph}} \). Restricting ourselves to a truncated phonon Hilbert space that includes states with at most \( M \) phonons (total number on a lattice with \( N \) sites), we take into account all \( m \)-phonon states with \( 0 \leq m_i \leq m \), where \( m = \sum_{i=1}^N m_i \leq M \). The relevant parameter range

A suitable set of parameters for the SC resonators is given by \( d_0 = 25 \mu m \), \( A_{\text{eff}} = 100 \mu m^2 \), \( C_0 = 2 \text{ fF} \), \( \omega_c/2\pi = 24 \text{ GHz} \), while the effective phonon frequency can be \( \delta \omega/2\pi = 200 \text{ MHz} \) or \( 300 \text{ MHz} \). In addition, we choose \( E_J \) for the Josephson junctions in the connecting circuits such that \( 2 \delta \phi_0 E_J/2\pi \hbar = 200 \text{ GHz} \). From this choice of parameter values, it follows that \( \delta \theta = 3.5 \times 10^{-3} \) and \( g \delta \omega/2\pi = 198 \text{ MHz} \).

The hopping integral \( t_0 \) can be adjusted in-situ by varying the dc magnetic flux \( \phi_0 \) between the bottom two junctions. This is illustrated in Fig. 2(a), where the ratio \( t_0/\hbar \delta \omega \) is shown for \( \phi_0/\pi \) between 0.95 and 0.99. For the chosen values of \( \phi_0/\pi \) we are mainly in the adiabatic regime \( (t_0 > \hbar \delta \omega) \), entering the antiadiabatic regime \( (t_0 < \hbar \delta \omega) \) for \( \phi_0/\pi \approx 0.98 \). For the same range of values for \( \phi_0 \), the effective coupling strength

\[
\lambda = 2 g^2 \hbar \delta \omega / t_0 \tag{21}
\]

varies from the weak-coupling \( (\lambda < 1) \) to the strong-coupling \( (\lambda > 1) \) regime, as can be inferred from Fig. 2(b). For instance, with \( \delta \omega/2\pi = 200 \text{ MHz} \) and \( \phi_0/\pi = 0.95 \), we have \( \lambda = 0.34 \); for the same value of \( \delta \omega \), \( \phi_0/2\pi = 0.98 \) yields \( \lambda = 2.1 \).

III. SMALL-POLARON SIGNATURES AND SHARP TRANSITION

The eigenstates of our effective single-particle Hamiltonian \( H_{\text{eff}} \) are the joint eigenstates of \( H_{\text{eff}} \) and the total quasimomentum operator \( \mathcal{K}_\text{tot} \). For instance, with \( \omega_c/2\pi = 200 \text{ MHz} \), respectively.

C. Relevant parameter range

For the solid and dashed lines, \( \delta \omega/2\pi = 200 \text{ and } 300 \text{ MHz} \), respectively.

Figure 2: Dimensionless parameters for the simulator: (a) Ratio of the hopping integral and the phonon energy, and (b) the effective coupling strength \( \lambda \), both shown as a function of \( \phi_0/\pi \). For the solid and dashed lines, \( \delta \omega/2\pi = 200 \text{ and } 300 \text{ MHz} \), respectively.
dimension of the total Hilbert space is $D = D_{K} \times D_{ph}$, where $D_{K} = N$ and $D_{ph} = (M + N)!/(M!N!)$. To further reduce the dimension of the Hamiltonian matrix to be diagonalized, we exploit the discrete translational invariance of our finite system, mathematically expressed as the commutation $[H_{\text{eff}}, K_{\text{tot}}] = 0$ of the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ and the total quasimomentum operator $K_{\text{tot}}$. This allows us to perform diagonalization of $H_{\text{eff}}$ in sectors corresponding to the eigensubspaces of $K_{\text{tot}}$. For that to accomplish, we make use of the symmetrized basis

$$|K, m\rangle = N^{-1/2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{iK \cdot n} T_{n}(|1\rangle_{e} \otimes |m\rangle_{ph}), \quad (24)$$

where $T_{n}$ denotes (discrete) translation operators. Thus, the dimension of each $K$-sector of the total Hilbert space is $D_{K} = D_{ph}$. Following an established phonon Hilbert-space truncation procedure\cite{68}, the maximum number of phonons retained $(M)$ is increased until the convergence for the ground-state energy $E_{gs}^{(M)}$ and the phonon distribution is reached.

While for the momentum-independent (completely local in real space) Holstein coupling the system size is essentially inconsequential, for nonlocal couplings of the kind studied here this is not the case. Therefore, the system size has to be chosen in such a way as to ensure that further increase of the system size does not lead to appreciable changes of the ground-state energy and other relevant quantities. In this particular system this happens to be satisfied for $N = 10$. For our system with $N = 10$ sites (with periodic boundary conditions), the truncation of the phonon Hilbert space in accordance with the aforementioned criterion requires the total of $M = 8$ phonons.

C. Results and Discussion

We now discuss the results obtained by exact diagonalization of our effective model. Unlike more conventional situations, in which the effective coupling strength $\lambda$ [cf. Eq. (21)] is changed by varying the dimensionless coupling constant $g$ (for fixed ratio of the relevant hopping integral and the phonon energy)\cite{68}, here we work with a fixed value of $g\delta \omega$ [recall Eq. (20)]. We effectively change $\lambda$ by varying the bare hopping integral $t_{0}$ through the experimentally-tunable parameter $\phi_{0}$, in accordance with Eq. (22).

Our main finding is that at a critical value of $\phi_{0}$ (i.e., of the effective coupling strength $\lambda$), there is a sharp transition (nonanalyticity) of all relevant quantities\cite{66}. This sharp transition is illustrated in Fig. 3 where the ground-state energy (expressed in units of $\mathcal{E} \equiv 10^{-3} \delta \omega^{2} E_{J} = 2\pi \hbar \times 100$ MHz) is shown as a function of $\phi_{0}$. The transition originates from a (real) level crossing and is of first order. The sharp transition physically corresponds to a change – at a critical value of $\phi_{0}$ – from a non-degenerate ground state that corresponds to the zero quasimomentum ($K_{gs} = 0$), to a twofold-degenerate one corresponding to equal and opposite (nonzero) quasimomenta $K_{gs}$ and $-K_{gs}$. For $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz this critical value is $(\phi_{0})_{c} \approx 0.968 \pi$, while for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz we find $(\phi_{0})_{c} \approx 0.972 \pi$. The corresponding critical values of the effective coupling strength are $\lambda_{c} \approx 0.83$ and 0.72, respectively. Shown in Fig. 4 are two small-polaron Bloch-band dispersions throughout the Brillouin zone (for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz and two different values of $\phi_{0}$), both with band minima (ground states) at nonzero quasimomenta.

![Figure 3: Ground-state energy, expressed in units of $\mathcal{E} \equiv 10^{-3} \delta \omega^{2} E_{J} = 2\pi \hbar \times 100$ MHz, as a function of the experimentally-tunable parameter $\phi_{0}$. The solid curve corresponds to the effective phonon frequency $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz, while the dashed curve corresponds to $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz.](image3)

![Figure 4: Small-polaron Bloch dispersions for two different values of the tunable parameter $\phi_{0}$, shown throughout the Brillouin zone. The solid curve corresponds to the $y$-axis scale marked on the left, while the dash-dotted curve corresponds to the scale on the right, as indicated by the arrows.](image4)
For sufficiently strong coupling – e.g., $\phi_{b0} \gtrsim 0.98$ for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz – the quasimomentum $K_{gs}$ corresponding to the single-particle ground state saturates at around $\pi/2$ (see the dash-dotted curve in Fig. 4). It should be stressed that – while the ground-state undergoes a sharp transition – the quasimomentum $K_{gs}$ itself varies smoothly between $K_{gs} = 0$ and this saturation value as $\phi_{b0}$ is increased beyond its critical value.

Apart from the occurrence of a sharp transition, another interesting aspect of our findings is an effective “compensation” of SSH and BM couplings below the critical value of $\phi_{b0}$. This is indicated in Fig. 3 where the ground-state energy curve essentially follows the bare-dispersion curve $E = -2\omega_0(\phi_{b0})$ all the way up to the critical value of $\phi_{b0}$. This peculiar effect can be ascribed to the character of the resulting momentum dependence of the e-ph vertex function in Eq. (23), being a consequence of the fact that here the SSH and BM coupling strengths are the same. This phenomenon could have profound consequences for transport properties of real electronic systems with competing SSH and BM couplings.

The central quantity for characterizing the small-polaron regime is the quasiparticle residue $Z_k \equiv |\langle \Psi_k | \psi_k \rangle|^2$, i.e., the module squared of the overlap between the bare-excitation Bloch state $|\Psi_k\rangle \equiv c_0^{|0\rangle}$ and the (dressed) Bloch state $|\psi_k\rangle$ of the coupled e-ph system that corresponds to the same quasimomentum ($K = k$). In particular, having determined the ground-state wave function $|\psi_{gs}\rangle \equiv |\psi_{K=K_{gs}}\rangle$ we can compute $Z_{gs} \equiv Z_{k=K_{gs}}$, a quantity characterizing the ground state of the system. While $Z_{gs} \approx 1$ indicates the weak-coupling regime (quasifree excitation), its reduced values in the strong-coupling regime [see Fig. 3(a)] signify the presence of small polarons, with these two regimes being separated by a nonanalyticity at the critical value of $\phi_{b0}$.

It is interesting to note that, unlike for the Holstein model where $Z_{gs} \approx 0$ for strong enough coupling, here $Z_{gs}$ may saturate at a finite value. As can be inferred from Fig. 3(a), for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz we find such saturation at $Z_{gs} \approx 0.15$.

Another relevant quantity is the average number of phonons in the ground state

$$\bar{N}_{ph} \equiv \langle \psi_{gs} | \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^{\dagger} a_n | \psi_{gs} \rangle.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

The change of this quantity from values close to zero [see Fig. 3(b)] to a nonzero value $\bar{N}_{ph} \gtrsim 3$ marks the transition from a quasifree excitation to a small polaron. The aforementioned effective compensation of the SSH and BM couplings below $\phi_{b0}$, is reflected in the vanishing phonon-dressing of fermionic excitations, the flat parts of the curves in Fig. 3(b).

The average phonon number $\bar{N}_{ph}$ is amenable to a direct measurement in our system, through measurements of photon numbers on different resonators (see Sec. V B). Likewise, the second moment of the effective phonon distribution can also be extracted by measuring the photon squeezing in the resonators. More generally, the complex multiphononic nature of small-polaron excitations can be fully captured by computing the entire phonon distribution, which is depicted in Fig. 6 for both values of the effective phonon frequency $\delta \omega$ used above. While for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz the distribution has a broad maximum at $\bar{N}_{ph} \approx 4$, the one for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz – corresponding to a smaller effective coupling strength $\lambda$ [cf. Eqs. (20) and (21)] – has a weakly-pronounced maximum at around $\bar{N}_{ph} \approx 1$. By contrast, the dotted curve in Fig. 6 representing a typical phonon distribution for couplings below the critical one, is very strongly peaked at $\bar{N}_{ph} = 0$.

While phonon distributions peaked at zero phonons are characteristic of all small-polaron models in the weak-coupling regime (e.g., below the onset of the polaron crossover in the Holstein model), the peculiarity of our findings is that here such distribution persists all the way up to the critical coupling strength. Although the sharp transitions of the type found here do not result from any kind of cooperative behavior (as is typical for quantum phase transitions in many-particle systems), the observed peculiar behavior allows us to treat $\bar{N}_{ph}$ as an effective “order parameter” for the predicted sharp transition. Given that $\bar{N}_{ph}$ is a directly measurable quantity in our system, this fact will facilitate experimental verification of the existence of this transition.

Figure 5: Characterization of the sharp transiton between a quasifree excitation and a small polaron: (a) Ground-state quasiparticle residue $Z_{gs} \equiv Z_{k=K_{gs}}$, and (b) average number of phonons $\bar{N}_{ph}$, versus the experimentally-tunable parameter $\phi_{b0}$. The solid and dashed curves correspond to $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz and $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz, respectively.
Assuming that $\beta(t) = 2\beta_p \cos(\omega_K t)$, where $\hbar \omega_K$ is the energy difference between the states $|G_0\rangle$ and $|\psi_K\rangle$, in the RWA the two states are Rabi-coupled with the effective Rabi frequency $\beta_p \sqrt{\bar{n}_K}$. Thus, starting from the vacuum state $|G_0\rangle$, the state $|\psi_K\rangle$ will be prepared within a time interval of duration$^{10}$

$$\tau_{\text{prep}} = \frac{\pi \hbar}{2 \beta_p \sqrt{\bar{n}_K}}.$$  

The form of the last expression is consistent with the expectation that the more strongly-dressed states (smaller $Z_K$) require longer preparation times. For the small-polaron ground states with $K = \pm K_{gs}$, these preparation times are much shorter than the decoherence time $T_2$. For instance, assuming that the pumping amplitude is $\beta_p/(2\pi \hbar) = 40$ MHz and taking the values for $Z_{gs}$ at the onset of the small-polaron regime [see Fig. 5(a)], we respectively find that $\tau_{\text{prep}} \approx 24$ ns for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 200$ MHz, and $\tau_{\text{prep}} \approx 17$ ns for $\delta \omega/2\pi = 300$ MHz. The obtained state-preparation times are three orders of magnitude shorter than currently achievable decoherence times $T_2 \sim 20 - 100 \mu s$ of transmon qubits$^{33,34}$, this being a strong indication of the feasibility of our proposed protocol.

The above Rabi-coupling state-preparation protocol, which ensures energy and momentum conservation$^{10}$, can in principle be adapted to other systems by taking into account their underlying symmetries. Importantly, the ultimate success of this scheme in different systems will depend on the character of their underlying absorption spectra, as described by the corresponding spectral functions (see Sec. V C). As far as our system is concerned, it should be stressed that the absorption spectra of electronic systems coupled with optical phonons (i.e., phonon modes with a gap in their spectrum) are characterized by generic spectral functions in which the “coherent” part (with a finite spectral weight) is energetically well separated from the incoherent background$^{12,60}$. This form of absorption spectra should allow one to avoid inadvertent population of other states while preparing a desired small-polaron ground state in our proposed system.

### B. Experimental detection

Here we discuss the method for measuring the average phonon number $\bar{N}_{ph}$ [cf. Eq. (25)], a quantity which can be thought of as an order parameter for the sharp small-polaron transition (cf. Sec. III C). As already stressed above, in our implementation $\bar{N}_{ph}$ corresponds to the average total photon number on the resonators. Owing to the discrete translational symmetry of the system, the measurement of $\bar{N}_{ph}$ can be reduced to the measurement of the mean photon number of one of the resonators. This can be accomplished by adding an ancilla qubit which couples to this particular resonator$^{10}$, but only during the measurement; this qubit is assumed to be far-detuned from the resonator modes. By measuring the qubit state,
the mean photon number on this resonator can be extracted, which multiplied with the total system size $N$ yields the result for $\bar{N}_\text{ph}$.

C. Robustness of the simulator

As in every other quantum-computation device, decoherence effects should also be present in our simulator. Possible excitations in this system correspond either to flipping of the qubit states or to displacement of the resonator modes, which are subject to the decoherence of the qubits and resonators. In addition to very long dephasing times ($T_2 \sim 20 - 100 \mu s$) of transmon qubits achieved in recent years, for coplanar waveguide resonators the damping time of the microwave photons can reach the same order of magnitude as $T_2$, with a quality factor larger than $10^6$. The relevant energy scales in our simulator (effective phonon frequency, e-ph coupling strength, and hopping energy) are all of the order of magnitude as the damping time of the microwave photons can reach the same order of magnitude as the decoherence time. Besides, as shown in Sec. IV A, even for very strongly-dressed polaron states exceeding the decoherence rates. Besides, as shown in Sec. IV A, even for very strongly-dressed polaron states the typical duration of the state-preparation protocol is three orders of magnitude smaller than the decoherence times. Finally, in the low-temperature environment of our system thermal excitations – which here have energies of a few GHz – can be safely neglected.

The pump pulses of the kind described in Sec. IV A may, in principle, induce unwanted transitions (leakage) to higher energy levels in a transmon qubit. Namely, in all qubits based on weakly-anharmonic oscillators leakage from the two-dimensional qubit Hilbert space (computational states) is the leading source of errors at short pulse times. This is especially pronounced if the pulse bandwidth is comparable to the anharmonicity. However, in a typical transmon with $E_{J1}/E_{C1} \sim 50 - 100$ and a negative anharmonicity of $\sim 3 - 5\%$, even pulses with durations of only a few ns are sufficiently frequency selective that the unwanted transitions can be neglected. In our system, there is an off-resonance of around 500 MHz for such transitions. For a typical driving amplitude $\beta_p/2\pi \approx 40$ MHz, the probability of leakage is below one percent, which is a reasonable error rate for the simulator.

V. EXTRACTING CORRELATION FUNCTIONS USING A RAMSEY SEQUENCE: STUDY OF THE POLARON-FORMATION DYNAMICS

A. Relevant Green’s functions

Generally speaking, dynamical response functions – Fourier transforms of retarded two-time Green’s function – provide a natural framework for characterizing excitations in many-body systems. The relevant single-particle retarded two-time Green’s function in the problem at hand is given by

$$G^R_{+}(k,t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \theta(t) \langle G_0 | c_k^+ (t), c_k | G_0 \rangle ,$$

where $c_k^\dagger (t)$ is a single-particle operator in the Heisenberg representation and $\theta(x)$ stands for an anticommutator. More explicitly, $c_k(t) = U^\dagger_H (t) c_k U_H (t)$, where $U_H(t)$ is the time-evolution operator corresponding to the lab-frame counterpart $H = H(t)$ of our effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$ in the rotating frame. The explicit forms of $H$ and $U_H$ are not relevant for our present purposes. In fact, the only relevant property of the Hamiltonian $H$ is that its symmetries in the spinless-fermion (pseudospin) sector of the problem are the same as those of the Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}}$, since this part of $H_{\text{eff}}$ preserves its form in the interaction picture.

It should be emphasized that, while the natural Green’s functions for spinless fermions are those that involve anticommutators [cf. Eq. (28)], in the single-particle problem at hand the commutator Green’s function

$$G_{-}(k,t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \theta(t) \langle G_0 | c_k^+ (t), c_k | G_0 \rangle ,$$

contains the same physical information. Namely, given that $|G_0\rangle$ is a vacuum state, we have that $c_k^\dagger (t) c_k | G_0 \rangle = 0$, which implies that in this special case $G^R_{-}(k,t) = -G^R_{+}(k,t)$.

Anticipating the use of a real-space experimental probe (see Sec. VI B), we further note that the last momentum-space Green’s function can be retrieved from the real-space resolved ones, i.e.,

$$G^R_{nn'}(k,t) = N^{-1} \sum_{n,n'} e^{ik(n-n')} G^R_{nn'}(t) ,$$

where $G^R_{nn'}(t) \equiv -(i/\hbar) \theta(t) \langle G_0 | c_n^\dagger (t), c_n | G_0 \rangle$. By switching to the pseudospin-1/2 operators and taking into account that the Jordan-Wigner string operators act trivially on the ground state $|G_0\rangle$, these real-space commutator Green’s function can be rewritten as

$$G^R_{nn'}(t) = \left\langle \sigma^\alpha_n(t) , \sigma^\alpha_{n'}(t) \right| - \langle G_0 \rangle ,$$

They can further be transformed to the form

$$G^R_{nn'}(t) = G^{xx}_{nn'} + G^{yy}_{nn'} - i(G^{xy}_{nn'} - G^{yx}_{nn'}) ,$$

where

$$G^{\alpha\beta}_{nn'} \equiv \left\langle \sigma^\alpha_n(t) , \sigma^\alpha_{n'}(t) \right| - \langle G_0 \rangle \quad (\alpha, \beta = x, y) ,$$

and, for notational simplicity, we suppressed the time argument and the superscript $\text{R}$ in the notation for these Green’s functions.
B. Many-body Ramsey interference protocol

The Ramsey-interference protocol is in principle applicable in any system where single-site addressability is available and yields naturally the real-space and time-resolved commutator Green’s functions of spin operators. In the problem at hand it involves the pseudospin degree of freedom of the transmon qubits.

The general Rabi pulses can be parameterized as

\[ R_n(\theta, \phi) \equiv 1_{2 \times 2} \cos \frac{\theta}{2} + i(\sigma_n^x \cos \phi - \sigma_n^y \sin \phi) \sin \frac{\theta}{2}, \quad (35) \]

where \( \theta = \Omega \tau \), with \( \Omega \) being the Rabi frequency and \( \tau \) the pulse duration; \( \phi \) is the phase of the laser field. The Ramsey protocol makes use of the special case \( R_n(\phi) \equiv R_n(\theta = \pi/2, \phi) \) of such pulses, with \( \theta = \pi/2 \) and arbitrary \( \phi \).

Quite generally, the Ramsey-interference protocol entails the following steps: (1) perform local \( \pi/2 \)-rotation at site \( n \) (with \( \phi = \phi_1 \)); (2) evolve the system during time \( t \); (3) perform local \( \pi/2 \)-rotation at site \( n' \), or global \( \pi/2 \)-rotation (with \( \phi = \phi_2 \)); (4) measure the system in the \( \sigma_z \) basis at site \( n' \). In our system, the described protocol leads to the measurement result given by the expectation value

\[ M_{n'n'}(\phi_1, \phi_2, t) = \langle G_0 | R_n^\dagger(\phi_1) U_H^\dagger(t) R_n(\phi_2) \sigma_z^{n'n'} R_n'(\phi_2) U_H(t) R_n(\phi_1) | G_0 \rangle. \quad (36) \]

The procedure for extracting relevant Green’s function can be simplified by exploiting the symmetries of our system in the pseudospin sector. Since its Hamiltonian involves a sum of an XY-coupling term and \( \sigma_z \) terms [recall Eq. (13)], our system has a \( U(1) \) symmetry under \( z \)-axis pseudospin rotations, implying that \( G_{mn}^{xx} = G_{mn}^{yy} \), and \( G_{mn}^{yx} + G_{mn}^{xy} = 0 \). Another symmetry of our system is that under reflections with respect to the \( z \) axis (\( \sigma_n^x \rightarrow -\sigma_n^x, \sigma_n^y \rightarrow -\sigma_n^y, \sigma_n^z \rightarrow \sigma_n^z \)), which implies that any expectation value involving an odd (total) number of \( \sigma_x \) and \( \sigma_y \) operators is equal to zero. For a system with these two symmetries, the Ramsey protocol measures \[ M_{nn'}(\phi_1, \phi_2, t) = -\frac{1}{4} \left[ \sin(\phi_1 - \phi_2) (G_{nn'}^{xx} + G_{nn'}^{yy}) - \cos(\phi_1 - \phi_2) (G_{nn'}^{xy} - G_{nn'}^{yx}) \right]. \quad (37) \]

Thus the combinations \( G_{nn'}^{xx} + G_{nn'}^{yy} \) and \( G_{nn'}^{xy} - G_{nn'}^{yx} \), needed to recover \( G_{nn'}^{R(t)} \) [recall Eq. (23)] can be obtained by choosing the angles \( \phi_1, \phi_2 \) such that \( \phi_1 - \phi_2 = \pm \pi/2 \) and \( \phi_1 = \phi_2 \), respectively.

In the realm of SC qubits, the Ramsey-interference protocol is conventionally used to determine the decoherence time \( T_2 \) of a single qubit, a procedure known as the Ramsey-fringe experiment. The use of this protocol is also envisioned for other types of manipulation, such as interaction-free measurements. In the present work, we propose its use on pairs of qubits in a multi-qubit system, for the purpose of extracting the desired two-time correlation- and Green’s functions.

C. Spectral function and relation to the dynamics of polaron formation

Provided that the single-particle retarded two-time Green’s function [cf. Eq. (29)] is extracted as explained in Secs. IV A and IV B, we can also obtain the information about the spectral properties of the system. Namely, by Fourier-transforming this Green’s function to momentum-frequency space, the spectral function is obtained as

\[ A(k, \omega) = \sum_j |\langle \psi_0^{(j)} | c_k^\dagger | 0 \rangle|^2 \delta \left( \omega - E_k^{(j)} / \hbar \right), \quad (38) \]

where \( |\psi_0^{(j)}\rangle \) is a complete set of total-quasimomentum \( k \) eigenstates of the total Hamiltonian of the coupled e-ph system (in our case \( H_{\text{eff}} \)), and \( E_k^{(j)} \) the corresponding eigenvalues. In the simplest case, the above sum over \( j \) includes the polaron-ground state \( |\psi_0^{(j=0)}\rangle \) at quasimomentum \( k \) and its attendant continuum of states that correspond to the polaron with quasimomentum \( k - q \) and an unbound phonon with quasimomentum \( q \). More generally, with increasing coupling strength there will be multiple coherent polaron bands below the one-phonon continuum (threshold for inelastic scattering) which sets in at energy \( E_g + \hbar \omega_0 \), where \( \omega_0 \) is the relevant phonon frequency (in our case \( \delta \omega \)). All these coherent (split-off from the continuum) polaron states contribute to the above sum along with their respective continua.

The spectral function is intimately related to the dynamics of polaron formation. Let us assume that at \( t = 0 \) a bare-excitation Bloch state with quasimomentum \( k \) is prepared and e-ph coupling is turned on (e-ph interaction quench); in our system, this can be achieved using the state-preparation protocol described in Sec. IV A before switching on the qubit-resonator coupling at \( t = 0 \). Then the state of the system at \( t = 0 \) is \( |\psi(0)\rangle = c_k^\dagger | 0 \rangle \) and the state at a later time \( t \) is given by \( |\psi(t)\rangle = \sum_j e^{-i E_k^{(j)} t} |\psi_0^{(j)}\rangle c_k^\dagger | 0 \rangle \). It is straightforward to verify that by Fourier-transforming the spectral function to the time domain we obtain the amplitude \( |\langle \psi(t) | c_k^\dagger | 0 \rangle|^2 \) to remain in the initial state of the system at time \( t \). This quantity, and the corresponding probability \( P(t) = |\langle \psi(t) | c_k^\dagger | 0 \rangle|^2 \) are clearly relevant for describing the dynamics of polaron formation.

Polaron formation is admittedly a very complex dynamical process even in the case of the momentum-independent e-ph coupling. Even the very fundamental question related to the time it takes to form a polaron, as well as a more detailed understanding of how phonon excitations evolve into the correlated phonon...
cloud of the polaron quasiparticle, are not fully answered to date. In the presence of strongly-momentum dependent \( e\)-ph interactions, which even allow for the occurrence of sharp transitions, it should be even more difficult to arrive at a full understanding of this process. Our system with its unique set of experimental tools – the ability to measure the average phonon number through measuring the photon number on different resonators, as well as the possibility of extracting the spectral function via a Ramsey protocol – paves the way for a detailed and controlled experimental study of this important phenomenon.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, we have proposed a superconducting analog quantum simulator for a model with nonlocal electron-phonon couplings of Su-Schrieffer-Heeger and breathing-mode types. The simulator is based on an array of transmon qubits and microwave resonators. Our setup allows one to reach the strong-coupling regime, characterized by the small-polaron formation, with quite realistic values of circuit parameters.

The most interesting feature of the simulated model is the occurrence of a sharp (first-order) transition at a critical coupling strength. This transition results from a real level crossing and physically corresponds to the change from a nondegenerate single-particle ground state at zero quasimomentum \((K_g = 0)\) to a twofold-degenerate one at nonzero quasimomentum \(K_g\) and \(-K_g\). Aside from the fact that our suggested setup provides a tunable experimentally platform for observing the sharp transition, what further motivated the present work is the circumstance that \(e\)-ph coupling in real materials is insufficiently strong for observing any measurable consequence of this type of transition.

One of the obvious advantages of SC Josephson-junction based systems compared to other quantum-simulation platforms (trapped ions, polar molecules, Rydberg atoms) is that they allow realization of strictly nearest-neighbor hopping, thus making it possible to simulate relevant polaron models in a quite realistic fashion. In trapped-ion and polar-molecule systems, for instance, the presence of non-nearest-neighbor hopping is unavoidable, originating from the presence of long-range interactions between their elementary constituents (Coulomb interaction between ions and dipolar interaction between polar molecules); these systems show similar limitations when it comes to mimicking the behavior of dispersionless (zero-dimensional) phonons. In addition to these intrinsic advantages of SC systems compared to other available experimental platforms, a unique aspect of our suggested setup is the capability of the in-situ altering of the hopping energy through an externally-tunable magnetic flux.

Once experimentally realized, our suggested setup could also be used for studying the nonequilibrium aspects of polaron physics, i.e., the dynamics of small-polaron formation. Experimental studies of such phenomena in traditional solid-state systems are hampered by the very short dynamical time scales, in addition to a very limited control over these systems. Our suggested setup therefore holds promise to become an invaluable experimental tool for future studies in this direction.
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Appendix A: Derivation of the Josephson-coupling term in the rotating frame

In the following, we present derivation of the effective Josephson coupling terms in the rotating frame. We will make use of the fact that \( e^{i\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}/\hbar} a_n e^{-i\hat{H}_{\text{tot}}/\hbar} = a_n e^{-i\omega_0 t} \), and that, consequently,

\[
e^{\pm \hat{H}_{\text{tot}} t} \phi_{n, \text{res}} e^{-\mp \hat{H}_{\text{tot}} t} = \delta \theta(a_{n+1} e^{-i\omega_0 t} + a_{n+1}^\dagger e^{i\omega_0 t} - a_n e^{-i\omega_0 t} - a_n^\dagger e^{i\omega_0 t}) ,
\]

and rely on the smallness of \( \delta \theta \) and the RWA. For an arbitrary unitary transformation with a generator \( S(S^\dagger = -S) \) applied to an analytic operator function \( f(A) \) it holds that \( e^S f(A) e^{-S} = f(e^S A e^{-S}) \). Therefore,

\[
e^{\pm \hat{H}_{\text{tot}} t} \cos(\phi_{n, \text{res}}) e^{-\mp \hat{H}_{\text{tot}} t} = \cos(\delta \theta(a_{n+1} e^{-i\omega_0 t} + a_{n+1}^\dagger e^{i\omega_0 t} - a_n e^{-i\omega_0 t} - a_n^\dagger e^{i\omega_0 t})) ,
\]

with an analogous relation for \( \sin(\phi_{n, \text{res}}) \).

We start from an expression for the Josephson coupling obtained by inserting Eqs. (2) into Eq. (7). By immediately dropping the terms that will be rapidly rotating upon transformation to the rotating frame, the remaining Josephson coupling reads

\[
H_n^J \approx - \left[ 2E_J \cos(\frac{\phi_n}{2}) + E_Jb \cos(\phi_0) \right] \cos(\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1}) + \mathcal{O}(\delta \theta^2).
\]

With the aid of Eq. (3), the term \( \cos(\phi_n/2) \) can be writ-
ten as

\[
\cos \frac{\phi_n}{2} = -\sin \left( \frac{\pi \cos(\omega_0 t)}{2} \right) \frac{\phi_{n,\text{res}}}{2} + \cos \left( \frac{\pi \cos(\omega_0 t)}{2} \right) + O(\delta \theta^2), \quad (A4)
\]

where the last two equations have been derived using the asymptotic relations \( \cos(\phi_{n,\text{res}}/2) = 1 + O(\delta \theta^2) \) and \( \sin(\phi_{n,\text{res}}/2) = \phi_{n,\text{res}}/2 + O(\delta \theta^2) \). At the same time, we will utilize the following well-known expansions in terms of Bessel functions of the first kind:

\[
\cos \left( \frac{\pi \cos(\omega_0 t)}{2} \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} J_0 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \cos(2n\omega_0 t), \quad (A5)
\]

\[
\sin \left( \frac{\pi \cos(\omega_0 t)}{2} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{2n-1} \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right) (-1)^{n+1} \cos ((2n-1)\omega_0 t). \quad (A6)
\]

We now have to analyze which terms in the last two expansions will remain after the transformation to the rotating frame. The first (time-independent) term in Eq. \( (A5) \) will clearly be unaffected by this transformation, while the remaining terms rotate at frequency \( 2\omega_0 \) or higher and can therefore be neglected by virtue of the RWA. It is also easy to see that the \( n = 1 \) term in Eq. \( (A6) \) will also give rise to time-independent terms. Namely, using Eq. \( (A1) \) we straightforwardly obtain

\[
\cos(\omega_0 t) e^{\pm H_0 t} \phi_{n,\text{res}} e^{-\pm H_0 t} = \frac{\phi_{n,\text{res}}}{2} + \ldots, \quad (A7)
\]

where the ellipses stand for the terms that rotate at frequency \( 2\omega_0 \), and can therefore be neglected. In this manner, after choosing \( E_{pb} = 2E_J, J_0(\pi/2) \), we obtain Eq. \( (11) \). It should be stressed that, being approximately given by Eq. \( (13) \), the term \( \cos(\varphi_n - \varphi_{n+1}) \) is unaffected by the transformation to the rotating frame.

---
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