Article

Dichotomy of heavy and light pairs of holes in the *t*–*J* model

Received: 6 January 2023

Accepted: 9 November 2023

Published online: 04 December 2023

Check for updates

A. Bohrdt^{1,2}, E. Demler³ & F. Grusdt ⁽⁰⁾ ^{4,5}

A key step in unraveling the mysteries of materials exhibiting unconventional superconductivity is to understand the underlying pairing mechanism. While it is widely agreed upon that the pairing glue in many of these systems originates from antiferromagnetic spin correlations, a microscopic description of pairs of charge carriers remains lacking. Here we use state-of-the art numerical methods to probe the internal structure and dynamical properties of pairs of charge carriers in quantum antiferromagnets in four-legged cylinders. Exploiting the full momentum resolution in our simulations, we are able to distinguish two qualitatively different types of bound states: a highly mobile, meta-stable pair, which has a dispersion proportional to the hole hopping t, and a heavy pair, which can only move due to spin exchange processes and turns into a flat band in the Ising limit of the model. Understanding the pairing mechanism can on the one hand pave the way to boosting binding energies in related models, and on the other hand enable insights into the intricate competition of various phases of matter in strongly correlated electron systems.

Following the discovery of high-temperature superconductivity in the cuprates, understanding the mechanism by which pairs of charge carriers can form in a system with repulsive interactions has been a key question in the field, despite a general agreement that antiferromagnetic spin correlations play a prominent role¹⁻³. Motivated by experimental results on the cuprate materials, a lot of theoretical and numerical work has focused on identifying the potential pairing symmetry^{4,5} as well as the binding energies in these microscopic models^{6,7}. Despite a vast research effort over several decades, the existence of a superconducting phase in the simplest model describing interacting electrons, the Fermi-Hubbard model, remains debated⁸. Competing orders, such as charge density waves and stripes, contribute to the difficulty in realizing as well as understanding superconductivity⁹.

In order to unravel the competition between different orders, and thus the conditions for the existence of a superconducting phase, it is essential to gain a deeper understanding of the nature of individual pairs of charge carriers. The existence of pairs close to half-filling does not imply that for a finite density of holes, the system necessarily realizes a *d*-wave paired state. Instead, a finite number of charge carriers can for example self-organize into a charge or pair density wave state¹⁰. However, understanding whether and how pairs form in the two-hole problem is crucial to the subsequent understanding of the self-organization of many holes.

Here we approach the question of the underlying binding mechanism from an alternative perspective: through elaborate spectroscopic tools, we search for bound states of charge carriers in a quantum antiferromagnet and directly probe their internal structure. In particular, we numerically simulate rotational two-hole spectra, where different angular momenta can be imparted on the system, using time-dependent matrix product states. Crucially, these rotational spectra go beyond the standard pairing correlations through the momentum resolution they provide. The momentum dependence of the peaks in the spectral function enables direct insights into the

¹ITAMP, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. ²Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138, USA. ³Institut für Theoretische Physik, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland. ⁴Department of Physics and Arnold Sommerfeld Center for Theoretical Physics (ASC), Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Theresienstr. 37, München D-80333, Germany. ⁵Munich Center for Quantum Science and Technology (MCQST), Schellingstr. 4, D-80799 München, Germany. ^{Semanl}: fabian.grusdt@physik.uni-muenchen.de

(a)

effective mass of the pairs, which is an essential property for understanding their ability to condense at finite doping and temperature.

We study pairing between two individual holes doped into the two-dimensional *t*–*J* model, which corresponds to the enigmatic Fermi-Hubbard model to second order in t/U (up to next-nearest neighbor hopping terms, where *U* is the on-site interaction) and describes electrons in cuprates¹¹:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{t-J} &= -t \,\hat{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, j \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\hat{c}_{\mathbf{i}, \sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\mathbf{j}, \sigma} + \mathrm{h.c.} \right) \hat{\mathcal{P}} + \\ &+ J \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, j \rangle} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{\mathbf{j}} - \frac{J}{4} \sum_{\langle \mathbf{i}, j \rangle} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{i}} \hat{n}_{\mathbf{j}}, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ projects to the subspace with maximum single occupancy per site; \hat{S}_j and \hat{n}_j denote the on-site spin and density operators, respectively. In our numerical simulations, we consider a 40 site long, four-legged cylinder, which is sufficiently long to ensure that the twohole wavefront in the time evolution we consider below does not reach the edges of the system. This also means that the thermodynamic limit is essentially reached in the long direction, and our resulting spectra correspond to predictions at zero doping.

In order to probe a possible bound state of two charges, we consider an extension of conventional angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy (ARPES). In particular, we excite the initially undoped antiferromagnet by creating not one, but two charges while simultaneously imparting angular momentum on the system. The resulting spectra thus directly contain information about the existence of possible bound states, their ground state energy, as well as their dispersion relation. In our numerical matrix product state calculations, we



Fig. 1 | Rotational spectroscopy of two holes in a singlet state in the *t*-*J* model with *t/J* = 3, on a 40 × 4 cylinder, based on a time evolution up to T_{max}/J = 3 and bond dimension χ = 1200. Energies are measured relative to the undoped parent antiferromagnet. a Sketch of the response probed by the rotational spectrum. b The upper (lower) plot corresponds to $k_y = 0(\pi)$ and a *d*-wave (*s*-wave) excitation. Data are shown as a function of momentum k_x and frequency ω/J . Gray dashed lines correspond to a cosine dispersion $-2J\alpha \cos(k_x) + b_J$, black line corresponds to a cosine dispersion $-2t\alpha \cos(k_x) + b_J$, and $b_t = -9J$.

find well-defined peaks in the rotational spectral function for all angular momenta, for spin-singlet as well as triplet pairs, and throughout an extended frequency range.

In order to gain a deeper understanding of the rotational twohole spectra, we also consider the conceptually simpler $t-J_z$ model, where the SU(2) invariant spin interactions are replaced by Isingtype interactions. Without additional spin dynamics, a direct comparison of our numerical results to an effective theory describing pairs of charge carriers bound by strings is possible, yielding excellent agreement in terms of the existence as well as the dispersion of the various bound states we observe. In particular, we discover a strongly dispersive low-energy peak, with a dispersion scaling with the hole hopping t, as well as completely flat bands at competitive energies. We attribute the flat bands to destructive interference of pairs with *d*-wave symmetry (See Supplementary Information file).

Upon introducing spin dynamics, the flat bands develop into weakly dispersive bands, whereas the *t*-dependent feature remains largely unchanged. We thus discover two qualitatively different kinds of bound states: highly dispersive peaks, including a high-energy feature with strong spectral weight in the *s*-wave spectra; and a weakly dispersive band, which has a high amount of spectral weight in the *d*-wave spectra. The dispersion of the latter is determined by the spin coupling *J*. The emergence of a slow time-scale set by *J* is intuitive and well-known in the case of a single hole¹², which forms a spinon-chargon bound state and can thus only move as fast as the spin excitation¹³. In contrast, it is surprising to find a coherent bound state peak of two holes in the spectrum with a dispersion $\propto t$ extending over a wide range of energies without decaying into incoherent pairs of individual holes.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We start by introducing the rotational two-hole spectra. We then discuss results for the $t-J_z$ model, where the SU(2) invariant spin interactions are replaced by Ising-type interactions. We discuss the features found in the numerically obtained spectra in detail and compare them to a semianalytical theoretical description of pairs of charge carriers¹⁴. Finally, we consider the full t-J model.

Results

Rotational Spectra

In order to probe the internal structure of pairs of charge carriers, we study rotational spectra. We define an operator $\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j}, \sigma, \sigma')$ that creates a pair of holes on the bonds adjacent to site \boldsymbol{j} with discrete C_4 angular momentum $m_4 = 0, 1, 2, 3$ as

$$\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{\sigma},\boldsymbol{\sigma}') = \sum_{\boldsymbol{i}:\langle \boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{j}\rangle} e^{\boldsymbol{i}m_4\varphi_{\boldsymbol{i}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}}} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{i},\boldsymbol{\sigma}'} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{j},\boldsymbol{\sigma}}, \qquad (2)$$

with $\varphi_r = \arg(r)$ the polar angle of r; see Fig. 1a for an illustration. In order to annihilate a spin-singlet, we define the singlet pair operator (and similar for triplets) as

$$\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{j}) = \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j},\uparrow,\downarrow) - \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j},\downarrow,\uparrow).$$
(3)

The simplest term creating a spin-singlet excitation with discrete angular momentum m_4 , charge two, and total momentum k is directly given by the spatial Fourier transform of the singlet pair operator as

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{m_4}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{k}) = \sum_{\boldsymbol{j}} \frac{e^{-i\boldsymbol{k}\boldsymbol{j}}}{\sqrt{V}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{m_4}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{j})$$
(4)

with volume *V*. The discrete angular momentum m_4 is a good quantum number at C_4 invariant momenta $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0), (\pi, \pi)$ only. Based on this



Fig. 2 | Two-hole rotational spectra in the *t*-XXZ model for $t/J_z = 3$ and $J_\perp/J_z = 0.1$ on a 40 × 4 cylinder, based on time evolution up to $T_{max}/J_z = 10$ and bond dimension $\chi = 600$. The colormap corresponds to numerical matrix product state simulations of the singlet two-hole rotational spectrum, blue lines are geometric string theory predictions for the position of states (all shifted by $-0.35J_z$), and the black line is a cosine fit. The upper (lower) plot corresponds to $k_y = 0$ ($k_y = \pi$) at $m_4 = 2$, *d*-wave ($m_4 = 0$, *s*-wave), and data are shown as a function of momentum k_x and frequency ω/J_z . In the top panel, the overall ground state energy for two holes is marked by orange circles for $k_y = 0$, and the green dashed line corresponds to twice the energy of a single hole (indicating a small pairing gap on the order of J_z).

operator, we now consider the rotational Green's function

$$\mathcal{G}_{\text{rot}}^{(m_4)}(\boldsymbol{k},t) = \theta(t) \langle \Psi_0 | \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)\dagger}(\boldsymbol{k},t) \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{k},0) | \Psi_0 \rangle, \qquad (5)$$

which we calculate using time-dependent matrix product states¹⁵⁻¹⁷. The corresponding two-hole rotational spectrum, $-\pi^{-1} \text{Im} \mathcal{G}_{\text{rot}}^{(m_4)}(\boldsymbol{k}, \omega)$, in Lehmann representation is

$$A_{\rm rot}^{(m_4)}(\boldsymbol{k},\omega) = \sum_n \delta\left(\omega - E_n + E_0^0\right) \left| \langle \Psi_n | \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{k}) | \Psi_0^0 \rangle \right|^2, \tag{6}$$

where $|\Psi_0^0\rangle$ (E_0^0) is the ground state (energy) of the undoped system and $|\Psi_n\rangle$ (E_n) are the eigenstates (eigenenergies) with two holes.

The two-hole rotational spectral function defined above is closely related to the dynamical pairing correlations frequently considered in the literature^{8,18,19},

$$P(\omega) = \int dt \, e^{i\omega t} \left\langle \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)\dagger}(t) \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)}(0) \right\rangle, \tag{7}$$

where $\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)} = \sum_j \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(s)}(j)$. Here, however, we consider the full momentum dependence of the pairing correlations, which enables direct insights into the center-of-mass dispersion of pairs of charge carriers.

The resulting rotational spectra thus directly probe the existence of bound states and their internal structure: If a bound state of two holes with long-lived rotational excitations exists, the rotational spectra should exhibit well-defined coherent peaks. If on the other hand, such bound states do not exist, the excitation with the rotational operator $\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\mathbf{k})$ will lead to a broad continuum in the corresponding spectral function.

In Fig. 1b, we show the two-hole spectral function with angular momentum, i.e., $m_4 = 0$ (*s*-wave) and $m_4 = 2$ (*d*-wave) for the *t*-*J* model



Fig. 3 | Strongly dispersive pair state in the *t*-XXZ model for $t/J_z = 1, 2, 3$ and $J_{\perp}/J_z = 0.1, 1.0$ and $m_4 = 0$ (s-wave). The symbols correspond to the position of the lowest energy peak at $k_y = \pi$ extracted from numerical matrix product state simulations of the singlet two-hole rotational spectrum. Yellow crosses correspond to the isotropic case, $J_z = J_{\perp} = J$ with t/J = 3. All data points are shifted vertically to collapse at $k_x = 0$. The blue dashed lines are geometric string theory predictions for the position of the strongly dispersing states. The black line is a cosine fit, $0.62 \cos(k_x) + 0.72$, to the extracted peak positions for $t/J_z = 3, J_{\perp}/J_z = 0.1$.

for momenta $0 \le k_x \le \pi$ and $k_y = \pi$ and $k_y = 0$, respectively. We find a well-defined coherent peak at low energies for all momenta, indicating the existence of a bound state. The spectrum furthermore reveals a plethora of different features, including a highly dispersive band (black line, *s*-wave excitation) as well as bands with a dispersion proportional to the spin-exchange *J* (gray dashed lines, *d*-wave excitation). At momentum $\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)$, the spectral weight vanishes for all energies for the *s*-wave excitation since $\hat{\Delta}_0^{(s)}(\mathbf{k} = (\pi, \pi)) = 0$.

In order to gain a deeper understanding of these intriguing results, we take a step back and analyze the conceptually simpler $t-J_z$ model in the following section.

The *t*-XXZ model

We now consider a modification of the *t*-*J* model, where the SU(2) invariant spin interactions are replaced by in-plane and Ising-type spin interactions with coupling constants J_{\perp} and J_{z} :

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{t-XXZ} &= \sum_{\langle \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \rangle} \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\perp} \left(\hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{X} \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{X} + \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{y} \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{y} \right) + \boldsymbol{J}_{z} \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{z} \hat{\boldsymbol{S}}_{\boldsymbol{j}}^{z} \right) \\ &- t \, \hat{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\langle \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \rangle} \sum_{\sigma} \left(\hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{i}, \sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{\boldsymbol{j}, \sigma} + \text{h.c.} \right) \hat{\mathcal{P}} - \frac{\boldsymbol{J}_{z}}{4} \sum_{\langle \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j} \rangle} \hat{n}_{\boldsymbol{i}} \hat{n}_{\boldsymbol{j}}. \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

In the limit of $J_{\perp} \ll J_z$, also called the $t-J_z$ model, the lack of spin dynamics facilitates our theoretical understanding. Experimentally, the anisotropic interactions can for example be realized by employing Rydberg interactions^{20,21} or using ultracold molecules in tweezer arrays²¹.

Remarkably, the two-hole spectral function, Fig. 2, exhibits a highly dispersive peak with a mass proportional to 1/t, best identified at $k_y = \pi$ (bottom panel); i.e., we find a long-lived, tightly bound state of two holes, which can move as fast as the hole hopping *t*. This is in stark contrast to the case of a single hole in the same model, which has a very high effective mass $\gg 1/t$ and thus an almost flat dispersion²², since it can only move due to Trugman loops²³, which are higher-order processes.

In Fig. 3, we further analyze the scaling of the mass of the pair by analyzing the position $\Delta\omega_{\text{max}}$ of the lowest energy peak at $k_y = \pi$ as a function of k_x for different values of $t/J_z = 1, 2, 3$ and $J_\perp/J_z = 0.1$. Note that the frequency $\Delta\omega_{\text{max}}$ is defined relative to the energy of the highly

dispersive peak at momentum $\mathbf{k} = (0, \pi)$, and shown in units of the hole hopping *t*. We find a remarkable agreement in the overall shape of the dispersive peak for different values of t/J_z , thus highlighting the scaling with the hole hopping *t*.

The lowest-lying peak for $k_y = 0$ and $k_y = \pi/2$ is—within our numerical resolution—completely flat. Note that the situation of two unbound, and thus approximately immobile, holes should have a very small matrix element in the spectral function considered here, and therefore cannot account for the pronounced flat band peaks we find in the two-hole spectra. This is further corroborated by the direct comparison of the energy for two holes ($E_{2h}-E_{0h} = -12.08J_z$, orange circles in top panel Fig. 2) with twice the energy of a single hole ($2 \cdot (E_{1h}-E_{0h}) = -11.01J_z$, green dashed line in top panel Fig. 2): the latter is higher by $\approx J_z$, well above our spectral resolution.



Fig. 4 | **Weakly dispersive pair in the** *t***-XXZ model for** $t/J_z = 3$, $J_\perp/J_z = 0.1$, **0.5**, **1.0**, **and** $m_4 = 2$ (*d***-wave**). The symbols correspond to the position of the lowest energy peak at $k_y = 0$ extracted from matrix product state simulations of the singlet two-hole rotational spectrum. All data points are shifted vertically to collapse at $k_x = 0$. Dashed lines are a cosine fit to the extracted peak positions with pre-factor as indicated in the legend, and additional offsets (not indicated).

In a companion paper¹⁴, we extend the geometric string theory developed for a single hole^{22, 24} to the case of two charge carriers. In particular, this geometric string theory approach describes the properties of two holes bound together by a string of displaced spins, and thus provides estimates of the energy and dispersion relation of such pair states, see blue lines in Figs. 2 and 3. Note that the existence of a state at a given energy does not imply that said state is visible in the spectral function, since the spectral weight, i.e. the overlap with the excitation we consider, can still be zero.

The geometric string theory correctly predicts the highly dispersive peak, as well as the existence of completely flat bands. Within this effective theory, the highly dispersive peak can be attributed to configurations where one hole re-traces the string created by the other hole, which allows the pair to move freely through the host antiferromagnet with an overall dispersion - *t*. The completely flat bands we find have also been predicted by an earlier theoretical study using a similar effective model²⁵. We attribute them to the destructive interference of hole pairs with non-trivial rotational symmetry. A selfcontained summary of the effective string theory is provided in the methods section.

Results for the *t*–*J* model

In the isotropic case, $J_{\perp} = J_z$, the strongly dispersive peak remains visible, see Fig. 1 bottom. In Fig. 3, we compare the peak position for the isotropic *t*-*J* model (yellow crosses) with the *t*-XXZ model at $J_{\perp}/J_z = 0.1$ and find that the momentum dependence along the *x*-direction of the lowest-lying peak for $k_y = \pi$ is qualitatively very similar between the two cases. This indicates in particular that also in the *t*-*J* model, a highly mobile, tightly bound pair state exists.

The flat bands, particularly visible in the $J_{\perp}/J_z = 0.1$ case at momenta $k_y = 0$ in the *d*-wave channel (and at $k_y = 0$, $\pi/2$ in the *d*- and for all k_y in the *p*-wave channels, see Supplementary Figure 10), acquire a dispersion approximately proportional to J_{\perp}^2/J_z , as can be seen in the top plot in Fig. 1. We analyze this behavior in more detail by explicitly extracting the peak position $\Delta \omega_{\text{max}}$ at $k_y = 0$ for $t/J_z = 3$ and different values of J_{\perp}/J_z in Fig. 4.

Again, the geometric string theory¹⁴ correctly captures the highly dispersive peak with mass proportional to 1/*t* (black lines) discussed in Fig. 3. However, since this theoretical description does not account for



Fig. 5 | Angular momentum dependence of the rotational two-hole spectra in the *t*-*J* model. We set t/J = 3 and $k_y = 0$, and for $m_4 = 0, 2$ (left, right column) calculated singlet two-hole spectra from time-dependent matrix product state simulations.

spin dynamics, it does not predict the dispersion proportional to J_{\perp}^2/J_z (gray dashed lines). The corresponding bands in the *t*- J_z model are flat, as predicted by the geometric string theory.

Different angular momenta

In Fig. 5, we show the spectral function for the *t*–*J* model at *t*/*J* = 3 and momentum $k_y = 0$ for $m_4 = 0$, 2, corresponding to *s*- and *d*-wave excitations. We find that the spectral weight exhibits a strong dependence on the angular momentum m_4 of the excitations. Before we have identified two qualitatively different types of bound states: a strongly dispersive band, see Fig. 3, and a weakly dispersive band, see Fig. 4. By considering different rotational excitations, we establish in Fig. 5 that the weakly dispersive pair, realizing the overall ground state within our spectral resolution, has almost exclusively spectral weight for the *d*-wave ($m_4 = 2$) excitation; for the *s*-wave ($m_4 = 0$) excitation, a large fraction of the spectral weight appears in a strongly dispersive high-energy feature. Both of these observations are also predicted by the geometric string theory¹⁴.

Since the 40 × 4 cylinder geometry used in our numerical simulations weakly breaks the C_4 symmetry, $m_4 = 0$ and $m_4 = 2$ excitations can in principle hybridize. We find, however, that such hybridization is very weak with no significant mixing of spectral weight, see Fig. 5. This indicates weak finite-size effects in our calculations on 4-leg cylinders.

We note that in early exact diagonalization studies on small systems^{18, 19}, the integration overall momenta, or the consideration of only momentum zero, leads to a much sharper low-energy peak in the *d*-wave than in the *s*-wave case. We attribute this to the widely different dispersions of the *s*- and *d*-wave peaks revealed here, and the exact C_4 symmetry in small systems.

The geometric string theory correctly predicts the *d*-wave character of the weakly dispersive band, as well as the accumulation of spectral weight at high energies for $\mathbf{k} = (0, 0)^{14}$. A more detailed comparison of spectral weights for different values of m_4 shows very good qualitative agreement at low energies (see Supplementary Figures 1-3).

So far, we considered a singlet excitation. In order to annihilate a triplet instead, we define the triplet pair operator as

$$\hat{\Delta}_{m_4}^{(t)}(\boldsymbol{j}) = \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j}, \uparrow, \downarrow) + \hat{\Delta}_{m_4}(\boldsymbol{j}, \downarrow, \uparrow).$$
(9)

Upon considering the corresponding triplet spectral function, we find that the lowest-lying peaks are at higher energies than in the case of the singlet spectral function. This finding furthermore suggests that the lowest energy peak in the singlet spectral function cannot be attributed to the unbound states of two holes.

Discussion

In this work, we extensively studied the properties of pairs of charge carriers in the *t–J* and *t–*XXZ models through rotational spectra. We find well-defined coherent peaks at low energies for all momenta and angular momenta m_4 . Our work provides an extensive numerical study of the mass of pairs of charge carriers in extended systems. We have revealed two qualitatively different types of bound states: First, a weakly dispersive peak with a dispersion approximately proportional to J_{\perp}^2/J_z , which has the most spectral weight for a *d*-wave excitation. Second, a highly dispersive peak, corresponds to tightly bound pairs with a mass proportional to 1/t. We find the same signatures of these light pairs of charge carriers in the $t-J_z$ and the SU(2) invariant t-J models. The bands corresponding to these light pairs, as well as the bands corresponding to heavy pairs, are qualitatively captured by a semi-analytic geometric string theory approach¹⁴.

Our numerical studies of spectra are currently limited to four-leg systems since we cannot reach sufficiently long times to achieve the desired spectral resolution when working with larger bond dimensions required for six-leg systems. Finite-size effects can be expected to play a role, in particular on a quantitative level, but the good qualitative

An intriguing direction for future research is the direct experimental probe of the two-hole rotational states. Understanding the pairing mechanism in the Fermi-Hubbard and related models has been one of the key motivations in the development of quantum simulators, and in particular cold atoms in optical lattices²⁶. In the past two decades, remarkable progress has been made in the field $^{\rm 27,28}\!\!$, and several proposals to probe the pairing symmetry have been put forward^{29,30}. More recently, the single-hole spectral function has been measured experimentally using ultracold atoms^{31,32}. Using additional lattice modulations, the two-hole rotational spectral function considered here could be accessed experimentally. In solid state experiments, the s-wave two-hole spectral function can be accessed through coincidence angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy³³, which relies on simultaneous measurements of two photo-electrons and provides direct insights into the pair Green's function. A different approach is based on Anderson-Goldman pair tunneling in a tunnel junction setup³⁴⁻³⁶: to study the structure of individual pairs in a strongly underdoped quasi-2D material as considered here, we propose to tunnel-couple the latter to a probe-superconductor along z-direction. Momentum resolution can in this case be obtained through an in-plane magnetic field.

The observation of light as well as heavy pairs in the spectra shown here furthermore suggests a real-space and -time experiment. Upon slowly releasing two holes next to each other in a cold atom experiment, a low-energy state of the pair can be prepared. In the ensuing time evolution, we predict the pairs to spread through the system in two distinct wave-fronts, corresponding to the light and the heavy pair, respectively. This phenomenology is expected more broadly, including in mixed-dimensional bilayer systems^{37,38}.

Finally, the existence of flat or weakly dispersive bands opens a new avenue to understand the many competing orders found experimentally in cuprate materials as well as numerically in Fermi-Hubbard and *t*–*J* models at finite doping^{8,39,40}. In the next step, we will investigate the dichotomy between two types of light and heavy pairs in the Fermi-Hubbard model. Furthermore, our work raises the interesting question of how the two types of tightly bound hole pairs discovered here relate to the Cooper pairs constituting high-temperature superconductors in copper oxides and whether they play any role in the pairing mechanism of the latter at all.

Methods

Geometric string theory

In order to interpret our numerically obtained two-hole spectra, we compare them to predictions by a simplified effective theory. The latter describes pairs of indistinguishable holes that are tightly bound by a geometric string of displaced spins. The detailed derivation and discussion of this two-hole geometric string theory can be found in ref. 14; see also ref. 25 for related earlier work. Here we will only provide an overview of the key structure, assumptions, and results of this theory. We emphasize that the main focus of the present article is on unbiased numerical results, which do not require major uncontrolled approximations beyond our choice of the microscopic model–in contrast to the effective geometric string theory.

The first key assumption of the geometric string theory is on the level of the employed Hilbert space. We consider exactly two holes, at positions $x_{1,2}$ on the square lattice, and assume that for each state a unique string Σ can be defined, composed of a sequence of string segments defined on the links of the lattice, which connects x_1 to x_2 ; i.e. x_2 is uniquely defined by attaching Σ to x_1 . By construction, we assume

that these string states $|\mathbf{x}_1, \Sigma\rangle$ span an orthonormal basis, i.e.

$$\langle \boldsymbol{x}_1', \boldsymbol{\Sigma}' | \boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \rangle = \delta_{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}', \boldsymbol{\Sigma}} \delta_{\boldsymbol{x}_1', \boldsymbol{x}_1}.$$
(10)

The basic motivation for working with this effective Hilbert space comes from considering two holes in a perfect Néel state in two dimensions: Starting from two neighboring holes, identified with the string-length $|\Sigma| = 1$ states, moving one hole away from the other creates a string-like memory of its trajectory, up to self-retracing paths, in the form of displaced Ising spins. For sufficiently short strings, the soconstructed truncated two-hole Hilbert space maps identically to the effective Hilbert space of the geometric string theory. For longer strings, this mapping no longer works due to loop effects, but their relative importance can be expected to remain small for sizable string lengths²². Going beyond the perfect Néel state, e.g., to the quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet, even short-string states are not perfectly orthonormal due to quantum fluctuations, but it can still be expected that an orthonormalized basis with a similar structure can be constructed.

The second key assumption is on the level of the effective Hamiltonian. In the geometric string theory, we include hole tunneling by including processes where the string is extended or retracted by one segment on either end. Moreover, we take into account spin-spin couplings indirectly, through a string potential V_{Σ} ; we further assume that the latter depends only on the length ℓ_{Σ} of the string $V_{\Sigma} \propto \ell_{\Sigma}$, with a pre-factor that can be determined from the case of straight strings²². This string potential models the energy cost associated with the frustrated bonds created by the motion of the holes through the host antiferromagnet.

Finally, to solve the effective string model, we further truncate the basis by taking into account only the lowest-lying rotational excitations²² of the strings and restricting their overall length. Making use of the conservation of the pair's center-of-mass momentum, we can derive the momentum-resolved low-energy excitation spectrum of the tightly bound pairs¹⁴. This leads to the line shapes shown in Figs. 2 and 3 of the main text.

Since the geometric string theory, per construction, correctly captures the short-length strings, it provides a natural phenomenological theory to employ for the description of two-hole spectra: The latter characterize tightly bound two-hole eigenstates featuring a sizable overlap with string-length one states at a given total momentum \mathbf{k} and C_4 angular momentum m_4 . Other, much more loosely bound, paired states of individual magnetic polarons, could also lead to features in the two-hole spectrum, although with reduced spectral weight due to their overall size. Such states, however, can neither be described by the geometric string theory nor do we find any clear signatures for them in our numerically obtained spectral functions.

The central predictions of the effective geometric string theory entail¹⁴: (i) The existence of two types of tightly bound hole states, namely a highly dispersive set of states with bandwidth $\propto t$; and a completely flat set of states with zero bandwidth originating from destructive interference effects, see supplements. (ii) A distribution of spectral weights in the two-hole spectra which is in good qualitative agreement with our numerical observations; this includes in particular the prediction of flat *d*-wave pairs at low energies and a pronounced high-energy feature in the spectrum at $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in the *s*-wave channel—see supplement for comparisons of our numerics with the effective theory. (iii) The association of *d*-wave pairing symmetry with flat, or weakly dispersing, bands; and the association of *s*-wave pairing symmetry.

These features can also be observed in our unbiased numerical calculations of the two-hole spectra. The effective geometric string theory thus allows us to interpret our numerical findings in an intuitive way, supporting our claim that long-lived tightly bound paired states of holes exist in the two-dimensional *t*–*J* model. The underlying pairing

is facilitated by the frustrating effect of strings, formed directly through the hole motion in the host antiferromagnet.

Data availability

All presented data are available from the authors upon request.

Code availability

The used numerical code (TenPy Package) is publicly available at refs. 41,42.

References

- 1. Scalapino, D. J. Superconductivity and spin fluctuations. J. Low. Temp. Phys. **117**, 179–188 (1999).
- Moriya, Toru & Ueda, Kazuo Antiferromagnetic spin fluctuation and superconductivity. Rep. Prog. Phys. 66, 1299–1341 (2003).
- 3. O'Mahony, S. M. et al. On the electron pairing mechanism of copper-oxide high temperature superconductivity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **119**, e2207449119 (2022).
- 4. Scalapino, D. J. The case for dx2 y2 pairing in the cuprate superconductors. *Phys. Rep.* **250**, 329–365 (1995).
- Tsuei, C. C. & Kirtley, J. R. Pairing symmetry in cuprate superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 72, 969–1016 (2000).
- Chernyshev, A. L., Leung, P. W. & Gooding, R. J. Comprehensive numerical and analytical study of two holes doped into the twodimensional *t-j* model. *Phys. Rev. B* 58, 13594–13613 (1998).
- Leung, P. W. Low-energy states with different symmetries in the t-j model with two holes on a 32-site lattice. *Phys. Rev. B* 65, 205101 (2002).
- 8. Qin, Mingpu et al. Absence of superconductivity in the pure twodimensional Hubbard model. *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031016 (2020).
- Scalapino, D. J. A common thread: the pairing interaction for unconventional superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 84, 1383–1417 (2012).
- Fradkin, Eduardo, Kivelson, S. A. & Tranquada, J. M. Colloquium: theory of intertwined orders in high temperature superconductors. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 87, 457–482 (2015).
- Zhang, F. C. & Rice, T. M. Effective Hamiltonian for the superconducting cu oxides. *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 3759–3761 (1988).
- 12. Kane, C. L., Lee, P. A. & Read, N. Motion of a single hole in a quantum antiferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. B* **39**, 6880–6897 (1989).
- Bohrdt, A., Grusdt, F. & Knap, M. Dynamical formation of a magnetic polaron in a two-dimensional quantum antiferromagnet. *N. J. Phys.* 22, 123023 (2020).
- Grusdt, Fabian, Demler, Eugene & Bohrdt, Annabelle Pairing of holes by confining strings in antiferromagnets. *SciPost Phys.* 14, 090 (2023).
- Kjäll, J. A., Zaletel, M. P., Mong, RogerS. K., Bardarson, J. H. & Pollmann, Frank Phase diagram of the anisotropic spin-2 xxz model: Infinite-system density matrix renormalization group study. *Phys. Rev. B* 87, 235106 (2013).
- Zaletel, M. P., Mong, RogerS. K., Karrasch, Christoph, Moore, J. E. & Pollmann, Frank Time-evolving a matrix product state with longranged interactions. *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 165112 (2015).
- 17. Paeckel, Sebastian et al. Time-evolution methods for matrixproduct states. *Ann. Phys.* **411**, 167998 (2019).
- Dagotto, Elbio, Riera, Jose & Young, A. P. Dynamical pair susceptibilities in the t-j and Hubbard models. *Phys. Rev. B* 42, 2347–2352 (1990).
- Poilblanc, Didier, Riera, José & Dagotto, Elbio d-wave bound state of holes in an antiferromagnet. *Phys. Rev. B* 49, 12318–12321 (1994).
- 20. Guardado-Sanchez, Elmer et al. Quench dynamics of a fermi gas with strong nonlocal interactions. *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 021036 (2021).
- Homeier, Lukas et al. Antiferromagnetic bosonic t-j models and their quantum simulation in tweezer arrays. arXiv https://arxiv.org/ abs/2305.02322 (2023).

Article

- Grusdt, F. et al. Parton theory of magnetic polarons: mesonic resonances and signatures in dynamics. *Phys. Rev. X* 8, 011046 (2018).
- Trugman, S. A. Interaction of holes in a Hubbard antiferromagnet and high-temperature superconductivity. *Phys. Rev. B* 37, 1597–1603 (1988).
- Grusdt, Fabian, Bohrdt, Annabelle & Demler, Eugene Microscopic spinon-chargon theory of magnetic polarons in the *t-j* model. *Phys. Rev. B* 99, 224422 (2019).
- Shraiman, B. I. & Siggia, E. D. Two-particle excitations in antiferromagnetic insulators. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 60, 740–743 (1988).
- Hofstetter, W., Cirac, J. I., Zoller, P., Demler, E. & Lukin, M. D. Hightemperature superfluidity of fermionic atoms in optical lattices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 220407 (2002).
- Tarruell, Leticia & Sanchez-Palencia, Laurent Quantum simulation of the Hubbard model with ultracold fermions in optical lattices. *C R Phys.* **19**, 365–393 (2018).
- Bohrdt, Annabelle, Homeier, Lukas, Reinmoser, Christian, Demler, Eugene & Grusdt, Fabian Exploration of doped quantum magnets with ultracold atoms. *Ann. Phys.* 435, 168651 (2021).
- 29. Rey, A. M. et al. Controlled preparation and detection of d-wave superfluidity in two-dimensional optical superlattices. *EPL (Europhys. Lett.)* **87**, 60001 (2009).
- Kitagawa, Takuya, Aspect, Alain, Greiner, Markus & Demler, Eugene Phase-sensitive measurements of order parameters for ultracold atoms through two-particle interferometry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 115302 (2011).
- Brown, P. T. et al. Angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy of a fermi-Hubbard system. *Nat. Phys.* 16, 26–31 (2019).
- Bohrdt, A., Greif, D., Demler, E., Knap, M. & Grusdt, F. Angleresolved photoemission spectroscopy with quantum gas microscopes. *Phys. Rev. B* 97, 125117 (2018).
- Su, Yuehua & Zhang, Chao Coincidence angle-resolved photoemission spectroscopy: proposal for detection of two-particle correlations. *Phys. Rev. B* **101**, 205110 (2020).
- Anderson, P. W. and Gorter, C. J. Chapter I the Josephson effect and quantum coherence measurements in superconductors and superfluids. *Prog. Low Temp. Phys.* 5, 1–43 (1967).
- Anderson, J. T., Carlson, R. V. & Goldman, A. M. Pair tunneling as a probe of order-parameter fluctuations in superconductors: Zero magnetic field effects. J. Low. Temp. Phys. 8, 29–46 (1972).
- Scalapino, D. J. Pair tunneling as a probe of fluctuations in superconductors. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 24, 1052–1055 (1970).
- Bohrdt, Annabelle, Homeier, Lukas, Bloch, Immanuel, Demler, Eugene & Grusdt, Fabian Strong pairing in mixed-dimensional bilayer antiferromagnetic mott insulators. *Nat. Phys.* 18, 651–656 (2022).
- Hirthe, Sarah et al. Magnetically mediated hole pairing in fermionic ladders of ultracold atoms. *Nature* 613, 463–467 (2023).
- Corboz, Philippe, Rice, T. M. & Troyer, Matthias Competing states in the *t-j* model: uniform *d*-wave state versus stripe state. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 046402 (2014).
- Arovas, D. P., Berg, Erez, Kivelson, S. A. & Raghu, Srinivas The Hubbard model. *Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys.* 13, 239–274 (2022).
- Hauschild, J. et al. Tensor network python. The code is available online at: https://github.com/tenpy/tenpy/, the documentation can be found at https://tenpy.github.com/. (2018).
- Hauschild, J. and Pollmann, Frank. Efficient numerical simulations with tensor networks: tensor network python (tenpy). SciPost Phys. Lect. Notes 5 https://doi.org/10.21468/SciPostPhysLectNotes.5 (2018).

Acknowledgements

We thank Immanuel Bloch, Antoine Georges, Markus Greiner, Mohammad Hafezi, Lukas Homeier, and Ulrich Schollwöck for fruitful discussions. This research was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy—EXC-2111—390814868 (A.B., F.G.), by the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programm (Grant Agreement no 948141)—ERC Starting Grant SimUcQuam (F.G.), by the ARO grant number W911NF-20-1-0163 (E.D.), and by the NSF through a grant for the Institute for Theoretical Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics at Harvard University and the Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (A.B.).

Author contributions

A.B. performed all numerical simulations. F.G. performed calculations for the geometric string theory. A.B., E.D., and F.G. contributed to the analysis of the results and the writing of the manuscript.

Funding

Open Access funding enabled and organized by Projekt DEAL.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43453-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to F. Grusdt.

Peer review information *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. A peer review file is available.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2023